# Rapid evolution of native and invasive California grassland species to altered water availability



Katherine Brafford and Jennifer Funk UC Davis kebrafford@ucdavis.edu



#### Acknowledgements UCDAVIS DEPARTMENT OF PLANT SCIENCES

•Funk Lab Members

- McLaughlin Natural Reserve
- •Dr. Susan Harrison
- •UC Davis Greenhouse staff
- •Student Disability Center staff
- •Qualifying Exam Committee
- •Numerous undergraduate and high school interns and volunteers

•UC Davis DataLab

•GGE Stats Support Group









#### Funding

•National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship

•I acknowledge the Department of Plant Sciences, UC Davis for the award of a GSR scholarship funded by endowments, particularly the James Monroe McDonald Endowment, administered by UCANR

- •UC Davis Graduate Group in Ecology Fellowship
- •UC Davis Eugene Cota-Robles Fellowship
- •Northern California Botanists Student Research Scholarship
- •Davis Botanical Society Student Research Grant
- California Native Grasslands Association; Grassland Research Award for Student Scholarship





# Western USA: Climate Forecast

- Much of CA drier
- Drier dry periods even in areas where annual rainfall remains the same



Background

Gershunov et al., 2019; Zhang et al, 2021; Swain et al, 2017; Zhuang, 2021; Eviner, 2016

## How plants deal with water limited environments

**Functional trait:** Any measurable characteristic which impacts fitness<sub>1</sub> (i.e. height, seed weight, flowering time)

Traits that may lead to higher fitness in drought conditions:

- **Early flowering** = complete lifecycle before drought
- Larger seeds = provides resources for young seedlings to grow even when conditions are hard
- More smaller seeds = higher chance some offspring will germinate at the right time and in the right place



Background

4

### Background

# **Rapid evolution/inherited traits**

- Sometimes, traits in populations of short-lived plants can quickly change in response to new environmental conditions
  - Rapid evolution
  - Maternal effects
- This includes in response to changes in water availability Such as: Nguyen, 2016; Franks et al., 2014; Metz et al., 2020; Kooyers et al., 2021; Franks et al., 2007
- Most often see changes in phenology (i.e. flowering time)
- Many speculate that invasive plant populations might be able to adapt more quickly than natives

## Aims





### Background





Test 13 short-lived species for adaptive changes after six years of exposure to altered water conditions

- Natives and invasives
- Early, mid, and late season bloomers
- Growth form (grasses and forbs)
- Mating system
- Lifespan (annuals and short-lived perennials



### Background

## Relevance

- Inform on when to plant local seed vs. seed adapted to the future climate
- Inform on when to plant non-local seed (lower cost/higher availability) vs. local seed (higher cost/lower availability)
- Identify non-natives to prioritize for control





## **General Plot Layout**



Control



# Seed mass McLaughlin 2021

**Serpentine shelter/control** (treatment p<0.001\*\*\*; species\*treatment p= 0.036\*)

brho	elel	fepe	heco	mh	trla
1.01	1.22	*1.17	*1.21	1.06	1.02

**Non-serpentine watered/control** (no significant differences between treatments)

avfa	brho	mh	ViVi
0.97	0.97	0.98	0.94

#### Serpentine watered/control (near-significant species\*treatment interaction p= 0.058)

avfa	brho	capa	clgr	elel	femi	fepe	heco	mh	pler	trfu	trla
0.94	1.03	0.89	*1.21	0.92	1.00	*0.86	0.99	0.98	0.91	0.99	0.92



Wetter = heavier

Results



# Seed mass McLaughlin 2022

Serpentine shelter/control (no significant differences between treatments)

brho	clgr	elel	femi	fepe	heco	mh	pler
1.10	0.71	1.07	0.97	0.9	1.11	1.03	1.19

**Non-serpentine watered/control** (no significant differences between treatments)

avfa	brho	mh
0.90	0.87	1.01

Key: Drier = heavier

Wetter = heavier

Results

Same weight

#### Serpentine watered/control (no significant differences between treatments)

avfa	brho	capa	clgr	elel	femi	fepe	heco	mh	pler	trla
1.06	1.01	0.75	1.18	0.91	1.09	0.87	1.13	1.00	1.01	1.09

# Seed mass greenhouse 2022

**Non-serpentine watered/control** (treatment p= 0.008\*\*;

treatment*species p= 0.022*)	
------------------------------	--

avfa	brho	mh	vivi
*1.11	0.87	1.00	0.98



Results

Same weight

#### **Serpentine watered/control** (treatment p= 0.053; treatment\*species p=0.005\*\*)

avfa	brho	capa	clgr	elel	femi	fepe	heco	mh	pler	trfu
1.04	0.96	*1.34	1.28	1.03	0.97	1.04	0.95	1.07	0.98	0.98

# Date of first flower 2022



### Non-serpentine watered/control (no significant

differences between treatments)

avfa	brho	mh	vivi
0.99	1.01	0.96	1.03



#### Serpentine watered/control (no significant differences between treatments)

avfa	brho	capa	clgr	elel	femi	fepe	heco	mh	pler	trfu
1.05	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.02	1.05	0.99	1.00	0.99	1.02	1.05

### Conclusions

Still need to analyze more traits from F1 and F2 generations

# **Tentative conclusions**

- Plants that received less water have the same seed mass or have heavier seeds
- Historic water conditions had either no effect or an unclear effect on seed mass
- Historic water conditions had no effect on first flowering date

# What does this mean?

At this point, no obvious signs of adaptation

If this is true, then:

- Population success will be highest if best-adapted species is planted
- Facilitate the movement of ecotypes of desirable plants rather than counting on them to adapt
- Stop the movement of invasive weed seeds, especially from regions that might have ecotypes that are a good fit for your site

### Thank you for listening!

Questions? kebrafford@ucdavis.edu

And in state