Mobilizing a rapid response to the threat posed by *Paspalum vaginatum* to tidal marsh conservation in both northern and southern California

> Cal-IPC Symposium November 3, 2022

Drew Kerr Kerr Ecological Solutions & Alys Arenas Newport Bay Conservancy



Alternate title(s) for this presentation:

Paspalum vaginatum: We don't want putting greens in our tidal marsh restoration!

OR Ignorance is bliss: Paspalum? What Paspalum?

Weed Alerts 2020 and more...

Jutta Burger

Science Program Director, California Invasive Plant Council

Robert Price Primary State Botanist, California Dept. of Food & Agriculture







Seashore Paspalum *Paspalum vaginatum* Sw. (Poaceae)

- Cal-IPC "Watch" [PRE = 18]
- First recorded in 1994 [Riverside Co.], 2002 [San Diego Co.], 2003 [Orange Co.]
- Aggressively invades wetlands
- Spreads by rhizomes, stolons, seed(?)
- Widely established in warm climate areas worldwide, incl. coastal SE U.S.
- Popular turf grass



- Seashore Paspalum Paspalum vaginatum Sw. (Poaceae)
- Can be easily confused with other Paspalum, Zoysia and Bermuda grass
- Leaves narrow, sharp-tipped, folded or flat
- Ligules are membranous and have hairs
- 2-branched inflorescence
- Spikelets in two rows
- Seeds smooth
- Highly salt tolerant









Invasive Paspalum vaginatum Key Traits

From Riefner & Columbus (2010) Key to Paspalum

- Spikelets entirely glabrous, solitary Key character to differentiate from native *P. distichum*
- Spikelets elliptic-lanceolate
- 3-4.5 mm long
- Rachis of racemes winged
- Rhizomatous & stoloniferous
- Mostly estuarine but tolerates a wide range of salinity



Invasive Paspalum vaginatum Literature

- Perennial grass known from tropical/subtropical regions around the world
- Riefner & Columbus (2008) reported for the 1st time for California; referred to as "now widespread & highly invasive in estuarine wetlands in southern California" from Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas
- "Likely unrecognized in the field for decades..."
- Shaw & Allen (2003) confirm what is evident in the San Francisco Estuary
- "[PAVA is]...an early and effective colonizer of disturbed, bare or ephemeral soil deposits and once established it can exclude indigenous species recruitment for many years"
- Siemens (2006) found PAVA was associated with a shift from an aquatic to more terrestrial invertebrate community (Galapagos)
- Degraded water bird habitat; most water birds did not associate with PAVA

Invasive *Paspalum vaginatum* Distribution & Key Traits

- Exact native range uncertain (Riefner & Columbus, 2008)
- Likely native to the southeastern U.S. seaboard from North Carolina to Florida and across the Gulf States to Texas (also occurs in New Mexico)
- <u>Commonly associated with brackish wetlands</u> Source of the San Francisco Estuary PAVA infestation is still unknown...
- Spreads by rhizomes and stolons, forming a thick turf (up to 2 feet thick or more)
- Rarely produces viable seed in significant quantities; must propagate vegetatively (Hall 1994, Duncan & Carrow 1999)
- Dispersed primarily by water; fragments of stolon or rhizome can be carried long distances **and root easily**, forming new infestations (Weber 2003)

Paspalum vaginatum Look-Alikes Native saltgrass (Distichlis spicata)

Common in middle to high marsh, the same marsh zones as PAVA But "plays well with others" and doesn't form the complete exclusion monocultures of PAVA



More flat/fan-like appearance than PAVA, shown here with salt crystals on leaves. Stem thick & often woody at base



Photos: Calflora & iNaturalist



Inflorescence a panicle as opposed to the racemes of PAVA

Paspalum vaginatum Look-Alikes Invasive Bermuda grass (Cynodon dactylon)

In San Francisco Estuary, Bermuda grass was found in upper reaches of tidal flood control channels, but at an elevation above tidal inundation (above PAVA, although growing adjacent)

Bermuda grass mats don't appear to get as thick/dense as PAVA





Right: invasive Bermuda grass (Cynodon dactylon); inflorescence with 5 spikes (3-7) resembles a bird's foot
Left: invasive PAVA; inflorescence with 2 (3) spikes

Invasive Paspalum vaginatum Fun/Disturbing Facts

- Sold commercially by the turf industry, as early as 1970's & 80's in SoCal
- One common name is "marsh couch" (dense mats 2ft+ thick). Comfy!!
- Used as bedding in trade ships sailing b/w Africa and New World in 1700's
- Can survive being waterlogged or submerged for several days at a time
- So salt-tolerant that can even be irrigated with saltwater!!
- Been shown to survive pollution with crude oil!!
- USDA NRCS stated "No environmental concerns" in 2008 fact sheet; Illustrates a common problem with new introductions, especially to novel habitats
- Plants will resprout from fragments so mechanical control not an option (Global Invasive Species Database http://www.iucngisd.org/gisd/species.php?sc=1351)
- As worldwide water shortages worsen, a turf grass that can be irrigated w/ saltwater IS an amazing advancement, **just not for our tidal marshes!!**



Impetus for Action in San Francisco Bay



- Planned 2021 breach from Mud Slough into Island Ponds A19 (shown July 2022)
 Intended to speed sediment accretion and marsh development at this restoration
- PAVA presence in Mud Slough generated the impetus for an initial management effort to reduce dispersal to A19
 - South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project interim funding

Invasive Paspalum vaginatum Coyote Creek Lagoon, SF Estuary



Invasive Paspalum vaginatum at Coyote Lagoon



Expands by stolons (aboveground runners) Engulfing & entwining the adjacent native midmarsh vegetation such as bulrush (below) and these *Grindelia stricta*/gumplant (left)

Thick mats will inhibit native plant regeneration



Invasive Paspalum vaginatum



Northern Island Pond A20 (Mud Slough just beyond the levee). biologists demonstrating that the invasive PAVA is penetrating back into the thick bulrush stand via stolons.

PAVA colonizing bare mud bench below the marsh scarp along upper Coyote Creek



Still very early invasion in some sites : 75m² net cover detected along upper Coyote Creek 134m² net within A20



Invasive Paspalum vaginatum

Colonizing on open mudplain at mid-marsh elevation 1st colonizer can OWN the space & inhibit native plants when cover is this dense and thick



Tidal Habitat at Risk from PAVA



PAVA threatening to dominate unvegetated mud at marshplain tidal elevation that has no biotic resistance to invasion, while the native plants that have established along the slough banks are already completely surrounded by PAVA







Upstream PAVA Treatment along Flood Control Channel Laguna Creek

By the appearance of the nearly 2 miles of continuous PAVA infestation upstream of the Coyote Creek Lagoon epicenter in native marsh habitat, it appears likely that a storm event washed propagules from landscaping turf into these flood control channels to start the infestation





Brown tussocks = demised PAVA one year after treatment Excellent competitive release of the native bulrush that was already persisting at a greatly reduced density due to the heavy PAVA mats at its feet



Treated PAVA reduced to brown mats of dead biomass as well as open mud, with <5% regrowth and great preservation of native *Grindelia* Careful spot treatment of PAVA trellising up within *Grindelia* succeeded in killing the invasive with minimal damage to the native





footprint where PAVA was treated in 2021 in Island Pond A19, with <5% regrowth of the invasive

Dead tussocks of PAVA with minimal regrowth & competitive release of other plants

Coyote Creek Lagoon north San Francisco Estuary PAVA epicenter <u>within native marsh habitat</u>

10,971 m² treated with imazapyr in 2021 746 m² mapped in same footprint in 2022 & subsequently treated in Year 2

That's a 93% reduction after just one year!!

Amazingly, the southern half of the lagoon was surveyed and <u>IS actually that clear of PAVA</u>



Invasive *Paspalum vaginatum* in San Francisco Estuary

Net cover of PAVA mapped in initial project area **2021** = 14,835 m² (3.67 ac.)

> Treated PAVA net cover **2021** = 13,945 m² (3.45 ac.) 94% of what was mapped

Net cover of PAVA mapped across entire project area **2022** = 17,816 m² (4.40 ac.)

Treated PAVA net cover **2022** = 14,441 m² (3.57 ac.) 81% of what was mapped

Total peak infestation summing initial mapping from 2021 & 2022 pre-treatment = approximately 30,000 m² net (7.4 ac.)



Chronology of a Relatively Early Detection & Rapid Response for PAVA in San Francisco Estuary

- Called out in the annual Weed Alerts at the 2020 Cal-IPC Symposium
- Field confirmation of the presence of PAVA generated conversations with landowners & managers
- South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project stepped up with interim funding in 2021 (& 2022) to begin to delimit the scope of the problem and initiate management actions
- DENWR awarded an ED/RR grant from USFWS for 2023-2024 PAVA management

Illustrates importance of vigilance by knowledgeable biologists/stewards to protect biodiversity









Figure X As-Built Restoration Plan

Forward momentum with invasive plant management

> Widespread native plant establishment & increasing bird populations

Restoration

Working towards the goal of healthy, resilient NATIVE marshes

Ongoing stewardship is essential to maintain the health of our marshes & guard against future threats