

Interactions among insects and weeds in western U.S. forests

Christopher J. Fettig, Justin B. Runyon, Jared A. Trilling, Leif A. Mortenson, and Jackson P. Audley

Fire, Weeds, and Forest Pests 2020 California Invasive Plant Council October 28, 2020





Bark beetles

- 550 species in North America.
- Relatively few are economically important.
- Regulate certain aspects of primary production, nutrient cycling, ecological succession, and the size, distribution and abundance of forest trees.



Robber fly (Asilidae) predating on red turpentine beetle (*Dendroctonus valens*) attracted to residual trees following harvesting, Eldorado National Forest, California.



Principle conifer-killing species

Common name	Scientific name	Primary host(s)				
Arizona fivespined ips	Ips lecontei	Pinus ponderosa				
California fivespined ips	I. paraconfusus	P. contorta, P. jeffreyi, P. lambertiana, P. ponderosa				
Douglas-fir beetle	Dendroctonus pseudotsugae	Pseudotsuga menziesii				
eastern larch beetle	D. simplex	Larix laricina				
fir engraver	Scolytus ventralis	Abies concolor, A. grandis, A. magnifica				
Jeffrey pine beetle	D. jeffreyi	P. jeffreyi				
mountain pine beetle	D. ponderosae	P. albicaulis, P. contorta, P. flexilis, P. lambertiana, P. monticola, P. ponderosa				
northern spruce engraver	I. perturbatus	Picea glauca, Pi. x lutzii				
pine engraver	I. pini	P. contorta, P. jeffreyi, P. lambertiana				
piñon ips	I. confusus	P. edulis, P. monophylla				
roundheaded pine beetle	D. adjunctus	P. arizonica, P. engelmannii, P. flexilis, P. leiophylla, P. ponderosa, P. strobiformis				
southern pine beetle	D. frontalis	P. engelmannii, P. leiophylla, P. ponderosa				
spruce beetle	D. rufipennis	Pi. engelmannii, Pi. glauca, Pi. pungens, Pi. sitchensis				
western balsam bark beetle	Dryocoetes confusus	A. lasiocarpa				
western pine beetle	D. brevicomis	P. coulteri, P. ponderosa				

Fettig and Hilszczański 2015 in Bark Beetles: Biology and Ecology of Native and Invasive Species



Area impacted by year, <u>western</u> U.S., 2000–2016



Fettig et al. (2020) in Disturbance and Sustainability in the Forests of the Western United States







Western pine beetle galleries in the inner bark of ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), Sierra National Forest, California.

- Pioneers use a combination of random landings and visual orientations followed by olfactory and gustatory cues.
- Most tree-killing species have highlyevolved chemical communication systems (e.g., in the western pine beetle, females release exo-brevicomin, which in combination with the host monoterpene myrcene is attractive to conspecifics. Frontalin, produced by males, enhances attraction).









Bluestain fungi (*Ophiostoma* sp.) in the sapwood of ponderosa pine killed by western pine beetle, Sierra National Forest, California.







The extent of tree mortality resulting from bark beetles may be limited to small spatial scales (e.g., individual trees or small groups of trees at endemic or transient population levels) or may affect entire landscapes during outbreaks (i.e., tens of millions of hectares in western North America).



Bark beetles and climate change

Articles

Bark beetles are sensitive to thermal conditions conducive to population survival and growth. Shifts in temperature and precipitation affect:

- Fecundity and fitness
- Phenology and voltanism
- Predators, parasites, competitors and symbionts
- Host finding and colonization success
- Host physiology

Climate Change and Bark Beetles of the Western United States and Canada: Direct and Indirect Effects

BARBARA J. BENTZ, JACQUES RÉGNIÈRE, CHRISTOPHER J. FETTIG, E. MATTHEW HANSEN, JANE L. HAYES, JEFFREY A. HICKE, RICK G. KELSEY, JOSE F. NEGRÓN, AND STEVEN J. SEYBOLD

Climatic changes are predicted to significantly affect the frequency and severity of disturbances that shape forest ecosystems. We provide a synthesis of climate change effects on native bark beetles, important mortality agents of conifers in western North America. Because of differences in temperature-dependent life-history strategies, including cold-induced mortality and developmental timing, responses to warming will differences in and within bark beetle species. The success of bark beetle populations will also be influenced indirectly by the effects of climate on community associates and host-tree vigor, although little information is available to quantify these relationships. We used available population models and climate forcasts to explore the responses of two eruptive bark beetle species. Based on projected warming, increases in thermal regimes conducive to population success are predicted for Dendroctomus frumpenis (Kirby) and Dendroctomus ponderosae Hopkins, although there is considerable spatial and temporal variability: These predictions from population models suggest a movement of temperature suitability to higher latitudes and elevations and identify regions with a high potential for bark beetle outbreaks and associated tree mortality in the coming century.

Keywords: cold tolerance, mountain pine beetle, seasonality, spruce beetle, temperature

During the 21st century, mean annual global temperature is expected to increase between 1.8 and 4.0 degrees Celsius (°C) as a result of growing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations created by human activities. Across North America, the rise in temperatures is projected to exceed global mean increases, particularly at high latitudes and elevations, and more frequent extreme weather events are climate change components (Dale et al. 2001). Although there are many possible avenues for atmospheric changes to influence phytophagous insect outbreaks, because of the direct link between insect population success and seasonal temperature (Danks 1987), outbreaks are predicted to be affected dramatically by global warming (Bale et al. 2002). Rapid genetic adaptation of insects to seasonal changes in temperature has



Intensification of outbreaks and impacts



Mountain pine beetle (*D. ponderosae*) (inciting factor – increase in <u>winter</u> temps)



Spruce beetle (*D. rufipennis*)
 (inciting factor – increase in <u>summer</u> temps)



Western pine beetle (*D. brevicomis*) (inciting factor – temps X <u>drought</u>)



Pinyon ips (*I. confusus*) (inciting factor – temps X <u>drought</u>)



1,122 m elevation, Sierra National Forest

<u>2014</u>

495 trees/ha 42 m²/ha basal area 65% ponderosa pine 30% incense cedar 5% canyon live oak



<u>2016</u>

148 trees/ha
3.0 m²/ha basal area
83% incense cedar
17% canyon live oak

In a period of <u>two</u> years, 70% of trees and 93% of basal area were killed. All ponderosa pine were colonized by western pine beetle (Fettig et al. 2019).



Impacts of mountain pine beetle outbreaks



Keywords:	Mou
Bark beetles	inse
Climate change	stru
Dendroctonus ponderosae	200
Disturbance	Wvo
Forest change	in 2
Forest composition	200
Forest structure	200
Pinus contorta	cont
Tree mortality	to lo

ABSTRACT

Iountain pine beetle, *Dendroctonus ponderosae* Hopkins (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), is the most important forest issect in western North America. We determined causes and rates of tree mortality and changes in forest ructure and composition associated with *D. ponderosae* outbreaks in the Intermountain West, U.S. during 004–2019 based on a network of 125 0.081-ha circular plots installed in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah and yorming. Incipient populations of *D. ponderosae* began in 2004; peaked in 2007; and returned to endemic levels a 2011 in Idaho, Montana, Utah and Wyoming. In Colorado, incipient populations began in 2004; peaked in 009; and returned to endemic levels in 2012. A total of 5107 trees died, 98.6% were lodgepole pine, *Pinus ontorta* Dougl. ex Loud. Fifteen contributing factors were identified, including (in order of importance, highest o lowest) *D. ponderosae*, unknown causes, pine engraver, *Ips pini* (Say), wind, breakage and/or adjacent tree fall, *Determethedis Change Otherware Engraver*. *Sumina*, paper paper beate. *Demotercement* proves beates *D. Ponderosae*.

- A network of 125 0.081-ha circular plots in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah and Wyoming.
- Overall, significant reductions in mean dbh (by 5.3%), mean QMD (by 8.6%), mean tree height (by 15.9%), mean number of trees (by 40.8%), mean basal area (by <u>52.9%</u>), and mean stand density index (SDI) (by 51.8%) were observed.







Runyon et al. 2020. Trees, Forests and People, doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2020.10038.





Audley et al. 2020. Forest Ecology and Management, doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118403.



Audley et al. 2020. Forest Ecology and Management, doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118403.

Significant reductions in tree density were observed in all diameter classes, except the smallest (midpoint = 10 cm, 5cm classes). Most mortality attributed to mountain pine beetle, especially in the larger-diameter classes.



Changes in understory vegetation



Justin B. Runyon^{a,*}, Christopher J. Fettig^b, Jared A. Trilling^a, A. Steven Munson^c, Leif A. Mortenson^d, Brytten E. Steed^e, Kenneth E. Gibson^e, Carl L. Jørgensen^f, Stephen R. McKelvey^b, Joel D. McMillin^g, Jackson P. Audley^b, Jose F. Negrón^h

^a Rocky Mountain Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 1648 South 7th Avenue, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA ^bPacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 1731 Research Park Drive, Davis, CA 95618, USA e Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service, 4746 South 1900 East, Ogden, UT 84403, USA ^d Pacific Southwest Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 2480 Carson Road, Placerville, CA 95667, USA e Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service, 26 Fort Missoula, Missoula, MT 59804, USA ^f Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service, 1249 South Vinnell Way, Suite 200, Boise, ID 83709, USA ⁸ Forest Health Protection, USDA Forest Service, 2500 South Pine Knoll Drive, Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA hRocky Mountain Research Station, USDA Forest Service, 240 West Prospect Road, Fort Collins, CO 80526, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords Bark beetles Dendroctonus ponderosae Disturbance Invasive plants Literature review Pinus contorta Scoly tinae	Bark beetle outbreaks alter forests in many ways including stand structure, fuels and fire behavior, wildlife habitat, and aesthetic value. Less understood are the effects outbreaks have on understory vegetation, despite the importance for overstory succession, nutrient cycling, water quality, soil erosion, and wildlife. Beetle outbreaks also change forests in ways that could promote invasion by nonnative weeds, but this is rarely studied. We assessed changes in cover of understory vegetation and presence/abundance of weeds in lodgepole pine forests in Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Utah and Wyoming, USA following recent mountain pine beetle outbreaks. Incipient beetle populations began in 2004, peaked around 2008, and returned to endemic levels by 2012. Understory vegetation was sampled in 2010, 2012, 2014 and 2018. Total understory cover and cover of shrubs and graminoids remained

- Total understory cover and cover of shrubs and graminoids remained unchanged, while cover of forbs and invasive weeds increased.
- By 2018, 20% of plots contained invasive weeds. Invasive weed abundance increased by ~31% (from 7,482 to 9,825 individual plants).





- Six invasive weeds were documented:
 - Canada thistle [*Cirsium arvense* (L.) Scop.]
 - bull thistle [C. vulgare (Savi) Ten.]
 - musk thistle (Carduus nutans L.)
 - lamb's quarters (Chenopodium album L.)
 - prickly lettuce (*Lactuca serriola* L.)
 - sulphur cinquefoil (*Potentilla recta* L.).
- Canada thisle was dominant representing ~95% of total weed abundance, and most widely distributed occurring on 20% of plots.
- Bull thistle was the next most common invasive weed, and was present on 4% of plots. The remaining four weed species each occurred on 1– 2% of plots.





- Weed abundance was most positively correlated with canopy cover, cover of shrubs, litter, bare ground, and percent dead trees in 2014.
- By 2018, abundance of weeds was only positively correlated with <u>snag fall</u>. The mean percentage of fallen trees in plots with weeds was greater than <u>twice</u> that in plots without weeds.
- A key factor promoting weed invasion is propagule pressure. Many plots within our network that contained invasive weeds had nearby (within ~250 m) weed populations, usually along roadways.







The soil disturbance created when snags tip up and fall appears prone to establishment and spread of invasive weeds. Canada thistle (here in a plot in Colorado) frequently invaded these sites and spread to nearby lessdisturbed areas.





Forest

Service



Snag fall rates



Only <u>24.7%</u> of snags have fallen. The predicted half-life is $\sim \underline{16}$ <u>YSD</u> after which the function predicts a fairly linear, $\sim 0.04/year$ decline in snag survival probability 15–30 YSD.



Global literature review

PP-s	1.1	-	
1.2	DI	e	4
_	_	_	

Summary of literature examining effects of bark beetle outbreaks on understory vegetation. +, increase; -, decrease; 0, no change. If empty, variable not examined. Number of + or - symbols indicates estimated magnitude of change: one symbol for <10% change, two symbols for 10-25% change, and three symbols for >25% change.

Bark beetle species Forest type			Time since	Cover		Vegetation	Above-ground	Plant species			
	Forest type	Country	outbreak (years)	Forbs	Shrubs	Graminoids	Total	 height 	biomass	diversity	References
Dendroctomus ponderosae	Pinus contorta	USA USA USA	4–7 20 0–3, 4–7	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	+++ (shubs) 0 (0-3 yrs) +++ (4-7 yrs, grasses and forbs)	+++ +++ (shrubs)	+	Stone and Wolfe (1996) Page and Jenkins (2007) Klutsch et al. (2009)
		USA	2, 4, 30	++++ (2, 4 yrs) 0 (30 yrs)	0	0	+++ (2. 4 yrs) 0 (30 yrs)	,			Griffin et al. (2011)
		USA USA	5 10	++++	0	0	+++ 0		(ur 1)	(forbs)	Norton et al. (2014) this study Pac et al. (2015)
		Callada	1-2						0 (vr 2)	0 (shrubs)	rec et al. (2015)
Dendroctonus ponderosae	Pinus ponderosa	Canada USA	7-8 1-5				2		+ ++ (forbs, grasses) - (shruhs)	0` ´	Edwards et al. (2015) McCambridge et al. (1982)
		USA	0-10						+++3		Kovacic et al. (1985)
		USA	4-11	+	++	++	+++			-	Crotteau et al. (2019)
Dendroctonus	Pseudotsuga	USA	5-10	+++	++	++	+++	+++			McMillin and Allen (2003)
pseudotsugae	menziesii	USA	4-5	++	0	++	++				Griffin and Turner (2012)
Dendroctonus	Picea glauca	USA	17	0	0	+	+			-	Holsten et al. (1995)
rufipennis		USA	8-9	04	+4	04	+4				Matsuoka et al. (2001)
Dendroctonus rufipennis	Picea engelmannii	USA	5	+++	0			+++ (forbs)	+++ (forbs)		Jorgensen and Jenkins (2011)
Dryocoetes	Abies lasiocarpa	USA	1-4	+	+	+	+	+++			McMillin et al, (2003)
lps typographus	Picea abies	Czech Republic	2-10	+			0			+ (vascular)	Jonášová and Matějková (2007);
		Cormany	15							- (moss)	Jonasova and Prach (2008)
		Cormany	-20							+	Renderr er al. (2013)
		Cormany	2.15							÷	Eicebor or al. (2014)
		Cormany	3-13	+	+	+	+			0 (2 mm)	Viewer er al. (2015)
		Germany	3, 17-25	+++	+++ (17-25		+++	+		+ (17-25 yrs)	winter et al. (2015a; 2015b)

¹ Only increase (+) or decrease (-) reported, not magnitude of change since different measures of diversity were used and species lists usually not provided.

² Decrease in total plant cover driven almost completely by reduction in the moss, *Pleurozium schreberi* (Brid.) Mitt.

³ Biomass peaked at 5 years.
⁴ Counted stems and leaves rather than cover.

Our global search only yielded <u>23</u> papers. All studies were from North America (16) and Europe.

- Only <u>two</u> studies addressed the influence of bark beetle outbreaks on invasive weeds.
- McCambridge et al. (1982) noted a large increase in musk thistle about 5 years after a mountain pine beetle outbreak in ponderosa pine in Colorado.
- Crotteau et al. (2020) reported no changes in invasive weeds for ~11 years following a mountain pine beetle outbreak in Montana.



Some related <u>ongoing</u> research in California



- Overall, 49% of trees died. Ponderosa pine exhibited the highest levels of mortality (90%).
- About 22% of plots had invasive weeds:
 - Cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum L.)
 - ripgut brome (*Bromus diandrus* Roth)
 - bull thistle
 - yellow star-thistle (*Centaura solstitalis* L.)
 - mullein (Verbascum thapsus L.)
 - Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armeniacus* Focke)



United States Forest Department of Service Agriculture

Thank You!

Christopher J. Fettig chris.fettig@usda.gov 530-604-9152

