

Presented by Ken-ichi Ueda to the Cal-IPC 2019 Symposium, 16 Oct. 2019. Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.



Naturalist.org

Explore Learn Record



Activity





Carrier 죽	Ca	rrier	Ŷ
-----------	----	-------	---

1:39 PM

kueda



20187 Observations

2
2

purpl	le c	lead	ne	ttle	9	
lowe	St C	Daklaı	nd,	СА	94611	



Common Groundsel Howe St Oakland, CA 94611



Dandelions Howe St Oakland, CA 94611



Epirrl	hoe ple	becu	lata
Tilden	Regional	Park,	Contra



Dipte	ra			
Tilden	Regional	Park,	Contra	



Lepidoptera Tilden Regional Park, Contra...



Brassicaceae Tilden Regional Park, Contra...



Syrphidae Tilden Regional Park, Contra...



Toxomerus occidentalis

 \equiv



News



Me

000 More



₹Ĝ}







1d

1d

1d

3d

2

2



3

3d

3d





ļl

ļ1

3d

Зd



77° 🗔 🗭

←

오 🕕 🛈 🐨 🚄 🛢 3:33

4



Q Species Search

We're pretty sure it's in this genus:



Ceanothus Genus Ceanothus

Here's our top 10 species suggestions:



blueblossom Ceanothus thyrsiflorus Visually Similar / Seen Nearby



hairy ceanothus Ceanothus oliganthus Visually Similar / Seen Nearby



 \triangleleft

Wartleaf Ceanothus Ceanothus papillosus Visually Similar / Seen Nearby

Ο





Photo: Einar Digistuffuk3, some rights reserved (CC BY-SA), https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Chris Gaffney surveying at Stonehenge December 2007.jpg





3:47

Constant
Constant

Radius / Buffer Touch to set value

Location Desci Touch to add text

Habitat Touch to select

ASSESSMENT

Number of Plai Touch to select

Phenology Touch to select

Distribution Touch to select

Percent Cover 1 - 5

ETC

Management S Touch to select

Date / Time 2019-10-11 3:46 PI

CANCEL

	Ũ	⊘ (D LTE	1 🗎 87 9	
				Calfle	
r (me	ters)				
riptio	n				
				~	
ints				~	
				~	
				~	
				~	
Status	5			~	
PM PDT					
		S	SAVE		

🔞 🛇 🕕 LTE 🚄 🗎 87% 3:47 Constant Calflora Radius / Buffer (meters) Touch to set value Percent Cover 0 0 - 1 1 - 5 5 - 25 25 - 50

95 - 100

50 - 75

75 - 95

Other...

Date / Time 2019-10-11 3:46 PM PD1

CANCEL

<

SAVE





















Dittrichia graveolens



Stinkwort Dittrichia graveolens	🗸 Agr	88
greenberger commented	lу	~
lowering plants of this species left on the ground will often uit. Best practice to bag and trash them	n mature to	
greenberger suggested an ID	1y	~
Stinkwort Dittrichia graveolens		
ockybajada commented	1y	¥
as better to pull them, even if some did go to seed afterwa t them continue to develop and ultimately produce even n		0
	nore seed. difficult to	
It them continue to develop and ultimately produce even n Il that said, in hindsight, it really wouldn't have been that o arry out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be adar for next year.	nore seed. difficult to e on people	s V
it them continue to develop and ultimately produce even in III that said, in hindsight, it really wouldn't have been that d arry out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be adar for next year. greenberger commented m one of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for p	nore seed. difficult to e on people	s V
It them continue to develop and ultimately produce even in Ill that said, in hindsight, it really wouldn't have been that de arry out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be adar for next year. greenberger commented m one of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for p ere.	nore seed. difficult to e on people	s V
It them continue to develop and ultimately produce even nearly out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be adar for next year. greenberger commented m one of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for pere. ockybajada commented	nore seed. difficult to e on people	s V
It them continue to develop and ultimately produce even in Ill that said, in hindsight, it really wouldn't have been that de arry out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be addred for next year. greenberger commented mone of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for p ere. bookybajada commented BD greenberger commented went out there today to scan the area and removed a total DD individuals. I also bagged the ones previously pulled.	nore seed. difficult to e on people 1y 1y 1y	s •
It them continue to develop and ultimately produce even in II that said, in hindsight, it really wouldn't have been that de arry out 30 small plants. I guess at least this area might be oddar for next year. greenberger commented m one of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for p ere. ockybajada commented BD greenberger commented went out there today to scan the area and removed a total	nore seed. difficult to e on people 1y 1y 1y	s •

)					
	✔ Agree	₽ Com;			
Anr	notations				
tribu	ıte	Value			
ant P	henology	Select 🔻			
x		Select 🔻			
Proj	ects (3)				
dd t	o a Project				
	Mount Tar	nalpais Biodi			
¢	Biodiversit Watershee	y Survey on 1			
	Marin Cou	nty Early De			
Observation Fields					
hoose a field					

Top Identifiers of Stinkw

Copyright Info and More

bservation © rockybajada · <mark>some</mark> DY-NC

is observation was created using iNaturalist Android App



npare 🤇 🤇	About
Agree	Disagr
Ag100	513681
diversity	
the Mt. Tama	Ipais
ataction	
etection	
vort	
e	
e rights reserved	l
g:	
.0.	

Dittrichia graveolens is one of the highest priority weeds on Mt. Tam due to its affinity for nutrient-poor compacted soils and gravels – usually this restricts it to roadsides and such but that also describes serpentine soil, with rare plant implications... It's also mostly absent from the core of Mt. Tam despite its commonness along adjacent urban thoroughfares. Really a perfect early detection/rapid response target. I manage something like 2 dozen patches on the mountain but had not known of this one. Now it will get annual attention [...] until it's eradicated.

And yes, you may infer by the Calflora link in the comments that iNat doesn't serve me well for the level of mapping resolution that my protocol requires. My org uses the professional-grade subscription side of Calflora for mapping, labor tracking, population history stacking, and treatment data.



David Greenberger

Asst. Conservation Management Specialist, One Tam



Stinknet (Oncosiphon piluliferum) 🕛 Research Grade









Observed: Mar 25, 2018 · 9:40 AM PDT



♀ Cathedral City, CA, USA

☆ Be the first to fave this

Activity



O ■ 0 ■ 0	bamm32	1 suggested an ID	Ø ID Withdrawn
Follow 🔫	22	Flowering Plants Subphylum Angiospermae	
vations	bamm32	1 suggested an ID	🝷 Improving 📑 🛡
Submitted: DT Mar 28, 2018 · 7:02 AM PDT		Stinknet Oncosiphon piluliferum	≓ Compare
atellite Sky Valley	cwbarrov	vs suggested an ID	
Reserve Thousand hedral City		Stinknet Oncosiphon piluliferum	
Map Data Terms of Use Report a map error	cwbarrov	vs commented	
SA Details -	Yikes! Wa	as this the only plant?	
s observation!	bamm32	l commented	
What's this?	It had ove	ergrown an 1/8 mile area I would	d say.
on piluliferum)	michaelm	nax suggested an ID	
2/3rds 3		Stinknet Oncosiphon piluliferum	
≓ Compare			



We have used inat observations on at least a few occasions as a rapid response tool to respond to Stinknet infestations in our area. I spotted the new observation on iNat and we removed the plants the next day. However, I think in most cases our hands are already full with the infestations we know about, so mapping new ones is not adding much value.



Colin Barrows

Conservation Coordinator, Friends of the Desert Mountains Low Desert WMA iNat project admin

https://bit.ly/2MhNwDj











```
start_time = Time.now
new_count = 0
old_count = 0
delete_count = 0
count = 0
def system_call(cmd)
 puts "Running #{cmd}"
 system cmd
 puts
end
def request
 if @opts.request_key
   @key = @opts.request_key
   return
  end
 url = "https://#{@opts.username}:#{@opts.password}@api.gbif.org/v1/occurrence/download/request"
  json = {
   :creator => @opts.username,
    :notification_address => [@opts.notification_address],
    :predicate => {
     :type => "and",
     :predicates => [
         :type => "equals",
         :key => "INSTITUTION_CODE",
         :value => "iNaturalist"
 }.to_json
 puts "Requesting #{url}" if @opts.debug
 puts "With JSON: #{json}" if @opts.debug
 @key = RestClient.post url, json, :content_type => :json, :accept => :json
 puts "Received key: #{@key}" if @opts[:debug]
end
def generating
 zip_url = "http://api.gbif.org/v0.9/occurrence/download/request/#{@key}.zip"
 status_url = "http://api.gbif.org/v0.9/occurrence/download/#{@key}"
 @num_checks ||= 0
 puts "Checking #{status_url}" if @opts.debug && @num_checks == 0
 @status = JSON.parse(RestClient.get(status_url))
 @num_checks += 1
 @status['status'] != 'SUCCEEDED'
end
def download
 url = "http://api.gbif.org/v0.9/occurrence/download/request/#{@key}.zip"
 filename = File.basename(url)
 @tmp_path = File.join(Dir::tmpdir, "#{File.basename(__FILE__, ".*")}-#{@key}")
 archive_path = "#{@tmp_path}/#{filename}"
  work_path = @tmp_path
 FileUtils.mkdir_p @tmp_path, :mode => 0755
 unless File.exists?("#{@tmp_path}/#{filename}")
   system_call "curl -o #{@tmp_path}/#{filename} #{url}"
  end
  system_call "unzip -d #{@tmp_path} #{@tmp_path}/#{filename}"
end
```

```
request
puts "Waiting for archive to generate..."
while generating
 print '.'
 sleep 3
end
puts
puts "Downloading archive..."
download
obs_ids_to_index = []
# "\x00" is an unprintable character that I hope we can assume will never appear in the data. If it does, CSV will choke
CSV.foreach(File.join(@tmp_path, "occurrence.txt"), col_sep: "\t", headers: true, quote_char: "\x00") do [row]
 # puts "row['gbifID']: #{row['gbifID']}\t\trow['catalogNumber']: #{row['catalogNumber']}"
 observation_id = row['catalogNumber']
 gbif_id = row['gbifID']
  puts [
    gbif_id.to_s.ljust(20),
    "#{count} of #{@status['totalRecords']} (#{(count / @status['totalRecords'].to_f * 100).round(2)}%)".ljust(30),
    "#{((Time.now - start_time) / 60.0).round(2)} mins"
    ].join(' ')
  observation = Observation.find_by_id(observation_id)
  if observation.blank?
   puts "\tobservation #{observation_id} doesn't exist, skipping..."
   next
  end
 href = "http://www.gbif.org/occurrence/#{gbif_id}"
  existing = ObservationLink.where(observation_id: observation_id, href: href).first
  if existing
   existing.touch unless @opts[:debug]
    old_count += 1
    puts "\tobservation link already exists for observation #{observation_id}, skipping"
  else
    ol = ObservationLink.new(:observation => observation, :href => href, :href_name => "GBIF", :rel => "alternate")
    ol.save unless @opts[:debug]
    new_count += 1
    obs_ids_to_index << observation.id</pre>
   # puts "\tCreated #{ol}"
  end
 count += 1
end
delete_count = ObservationLink.where(href_name: "GBIF").where("updated_at < ?", start_time).count</pre>
links_to_delete_scope = ObservationLink.where("href_name = 'GBIF' AND updated_at < ?", start_time)</pre>
obs_ids_to_index += links_to_delete_scope.pluck(:observation_id)
links_to_delete_scope.delete_all unless @opts[:debug]
puts
puts "Re-indexing #{obs_ids_to_index.size} observations..."
obs_ids_to_index = obs_ids_to_index.compact.uniq
obs_ids_to_index.in_groups_of( 500 ) do |group|
 print '.'
 Observation.elastic_index!( ids: group.compact )
end
puts
puts
puts "#{new_count} created, #{old_count} updated, #{delete_count} deleted in #{Time.now - start_time} s. Request key: #{@key}"
```









Focus on early detection

3:47 🔞 🛛 🕕 LTE 🖊 🗎 87% Continuation Calflora 🖽 🖾 🥠 Color Def.. Map: Map Draw: Polygon Append Point • Powell St Marina-Park-Pathway +Google 20 m CANCEL OK <

not mapping



Focus on a few species



not ALL THE Species

Build your community



Don't wait for it to appear

Close the loop



dgreenberger commented

I'm one of the people whose radar it is now on! Thanks for putting it up here.



rockybajada commented

NBD



dgreenberger commented

I went out there today to scan the area and removed a total of more than 200 individuals. I also bagged the ones previously pulled.

https://www.calflora.org/entry/poe.html#vrid=po104181



rockybajada commented

Oh wow, I didn't look for it on that upper road. I also didn't expect the population to be managed so quickly, or I would have just left standing the ones that I pulled. Nice work!



What do you think this orchid is?

Actually I think it might be *Monotropa* or something similar given what looks like an actinomorphic flower. If you're not sure what I'm talking about, here's a link to a comparative diagram of flower types.

I hear you, but check out these links to similar looking orchids with flowers that look a bit like this. Thoughts?

HOW TO HELP **USE** Tel someone about it Provide your expertise Be both expert AND CIVIIZEC





Naturalist.org

What do you think?

Open Source

https://github.com/inaturalist