Prescribed Goat Grazing for Wildland Management

California Invasive Plant Council Symposium October 16, 2019

Presenters



Robert Freese, Ph.D. Sr. Project Manager, Restoration Irvine Ranch Conservancy



Alissa Cope Principal Sage Environmental Group





Case Studies Partners Irvine Ranch Conservancy UCI Center for Environmental Biology Sage Environmental Group

Irvine Ranch Conservancy Partners OC Parks, OCTA (Measure M) Natural Communities Coalition City of Irvine

Two Experiments in Goat Grazing

Case Study #1 Bee Flat Maintaining an established native grassland (2018)

Case Study #2 Bommer Canyon Preparing a degraded site for restoration (2019)

A TEACH AND A DEPARTMENT OF A MANAGEMENT OF A DEPARTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT OF A DEPARTMENT OF A D

Case Study #1: Bee Flat Native Grasslands

2015: Thatch accumulation

2017: Annual grass re-invasion

2015: Needlegrass established 2017: Thatch accumulation Annual grass re-invasion ?



Year 3

Year 5

Importance of Grazing to Maintain Grasslands

- Periodic disturbance maintains biological diversity
- California Native Grasslands: hybrid ecosystem of natives and non-natives
- Proper timing, duration, and frequency of grazing may favor natives
- Grazing is one tool for breaking Thatch \rightarrow Annual Grass \rightarrow Thatch cycle





Why Use Goats to Graze Invasive Plants?

- Widely available (often used for fire fuel reduction)
- Easy to transport, set up, and manage
- Grazing easily constrained with light-weight, electric mesh
- Hardy, agile, and effective on steep slopes
- Versatile in diet
- Charismatic (public likes goats!)

Versatile Foragers: Goat Diet at Two Study Sites



Artichoke thistle

Black mustard

Ripgut brome

Wild oats

Wild radish



Protective Fencing Prevents Consumption of Desired Plants







Operations





Timing of Goat Grazing

- Mid-spring optimal: Annual grasses green, flowering, and palatable. Native bunchgrasses still dormant, less appealing to goats.
- Grazing too early, plants re-sprout. Grazing too late, miss destroying flower heads.
- Long duration → overgrazing may result
- Fall optimal for invasive forb biomass removal

Goats trample thatch but do not eat it

Bunchgrasses were scarcely grazed

Localized impact of operations

Grazed Area (April 2018)

Raked Area (August 2018)

E Partie

Grazing at Bee Flat April 20-30, 2018

IRC Long-term Monitoring Transects

Vegetation Cover at BF-53 grassland (2015-2019)



- Three 50-meter transects sampled every two years
- Half sample points grazed in April 2018
- Half sample points raked in August 2018

Change in Vegetation Cover: Grazed vs. Raked

Vegetation Cover in Grazed Areas





Vegetation Cover in Raked Areas



UCI-CEB Study Plots: Thatch Thickness



- Mow + rake: immediate reduction in thatch in 2018
- Grazing: more delayed reduction in thatch, similar to mow + rake by 2019
- Thatch reduction still evident 14 months later in both treatments

Bee Flat Grassland: Post-grazing and De-thatching



- Thatch disruption can release aggressive forbs
- Disturbed areas dominated by tarweed in summer 2019
- Areas with good needlegrass cover resisted forb invasion
- Continued monitoring planned

Case Study #1 Conclusions

Both grazing and mow + raking reduce thatch thickness. Reduction may persist longer than one year.

Goats de-thatch by trampling, not by consuming thatch.

Carefully timed grazing in mid-spring may impact non-native grasses with little harm to needlegrass. However, impacts can occur near staging areas or if over-grazed.

Thatch disruption may increase forb cover, particularly in over-grazed or disturbed areas.

Raking (without mowing) may benefit needlegrass while decreasing non-native grass cover.

Further study needed: mowing vs. raking, season of dethatching.



Case Study #2: City of Irvine Bommer Site Preparation

- Pilot project in grazingbased site preparation
- Can medium-duration grazing prevent weeds from setting seed?
- 65 goats introduced to 4-acre site from April 25 – May 24
- Two grazing cycles
- Mowing conducted twice in adjacent areas
- Cover, canopy height, and thatch thickness data collected June 2019 (1-month post-grazing)

June 2019, One Month Post-grazing



 Grazed areas had little re-sprouting of annual grasses but some re-sprouting of broadleaf weeds

Vegetation Cover



Thatch Thickness and Canopy Height



Grazing reduced average thatch thickness from 6.5 to 1 cm

Grazing reduced average canopy height from 95 to 2.3 cm

Test #	1 Soil + Seed	2 Soil + Seed + Goat Droppings	3 Soil + Goat Droppings (no seeds)
Sample	<i>Elymus triticoides seeds</i> planted in sterile soil	<i>Elymus triticoides</i> seeds planted in sterile soil with goat droppings	Sterile soil, no seeds planted, goat droppings only
Result	<i>Elymus triticoides</i> sprouted	<i>Elymus triticoides</i> sprouted; No weeds sprouted	Nothing spouted
Photo			

Seed Viability in Goat Droppings?

- Question: What is the viability of seeds that have passed through a goat's digestive system?
- Approach: Conducted germination test using new sterile soil, new native grass seed, and goat droppings
- Method: Distributed these materials into three flats and watered them weekly
- **Conclusion**: Nothing sprouted from goat droppings

Case Study #2 Conclusions

Grazing for one-month eliminated weed canopy and reduced thatch layer

Only minor re-sprouting of annual grasses (<1 percent cover)

Broadleaf weeds re-sprouted post-grazing and required additional control measures

No indication of viable seed in goat droppings

Preliminary data suggest medium-duration grazing may be effective site preparation tool

Two mowing events insufficient to provide adequate control of annual grass and broadleaf weeds.

Comparative Costs and Considerations

	Tractor Mow + Rake	Weed Whip + Rake	Grazing
Preferred Site	Level sites with good access	Better for rocky, steep sites or restricted access	Feasible at all sites
Mobilization Costs	Minor (equipment transport, maintenance)	Minor (equipment transport, maintenance)	Significant (fencing, staging area set-up and tear down) \$1500 flat fee
Per Acre Costs	\$180/ acre	\$1000 – 1400/ acre	\$750-1500/ acre (costs increase for multiple events)
Speed	Fast	Slow (0.5 acre/day), 3- to 5-person crew	Slow (0.5 acre/ day), 100 animal herd
Site Size	Small to large	Very small to medium (< 10 acres)	Medium to very large (>10 acres)

GRAZING	MOWING
+ Consumption of seed heads means reduced likelihood of weeds re-sprouting	 Repeated mowing necessary due to re-sprouting
+ Consumption of biomass	- Thatch disposal may be necessary
+ Improved nutrient recycling via droppings and thatch decomposition	
+ Public relations benefits	- Viewed as nuisance by public
	Conclusions:

Grazing vs. Mowing



Contact

Robert Freese, Ph.D Irvine Ranch Conservancy rfreese@irconservancy.org

2 KUTTA

Alissa Cope Sage Environmental Group acope@sageenvironmentalgroup.com

Questions & Answers



Bee Flat





Bommer Canyon





Bommer Canyon





Bommer Canyon





Operations





Guard Dogs







Guard Dogs





SAGE ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP

Visit our Exhibit Booth

