



Adaptive Integrated Vegetation Management of Invasive Spartina densiflora in the San Francisco Estuary

Spartina densiflora (Chilean cordgrass) was introduced to Creekside Park along Corte Madera Creek, Marin County in the 1970's as part of a restoration effort. It had been misidentified as a form of native Spartina foliosa (Pacific cordgrass) and was subsequently imported from Humboldt Bay where it now infests more than 2000 acres after dry ballast was deposited there during the timber trade with South America in the 19th Century. By 2004, S. densiflora dominated the marsh at Creekside Park and had spread to 12 other marshes in Marin as well as Point Pinole and Mare Island across the North Bay. The Invasive Spartina Project and Friends of Corte Madera Creek Watershed began treatment on these infestations in 2004-2006, relying predominantly on imazapyr application in the initial years to gain control of the problem while also digging isolated plants and outliers with the Conservation Corps. Until receiving an amendment to the Biological Opinion in 2008, entry into many infested marshes was restricted until the end of endangered California clapper rail breeding season on September 1. Since S. densiflora sets seed by early July, that initial timing made it impossible to stay ahead of the infestation. In addition, imazapyr produced extremely variable results, especially on established meadow areas and on small plants with less leaf surface area. Mowing the persistent dead biomass remaining at meadows of previously-sprayed S. densiflora allowed for fresh green growth that could identify targets for retreatment with imazapyr or digging. Despite these considerable challenges, the annual imazapyr treatment significantly reduced the infestation, allowing the IVM strategy to shift by 2010 to purely manual removal by ISP biologists at 93% of the sites.







Drew Kerr, Co-Manager Field Operations, Coastal Conservancy's Invasive Spartina Project

Constraints on Spartina densiflora Control in the San Francisco Estuary

iological Opinion amendment in 2008, ISP was not permitted entry to nown California clapper rail breeding sites before Sept 1 (either to inventory sites or to treat them on the ground). • Full comprehensive treatment of all 168 *Spartina* sites around the Estuary was

AN IMPOSSIBLE TASK for the first 3 years. S. densiflora had already set seed by July, AND was less likely to take in the erbicide in September because of senescence • No mowing initially to preserve refugia for rails (even the standing dead mass)





•Established stands of *S. densiflora* one year post-treatment can display this yellow/green/grey, half-dead appearance

Marsh of the Living Dead

•Not healthy enough to translocate another herbicide application in this state

•Managed with mowing to illicit new green growth susceptible to herbicide and/or to ease manual removal

•One imazapyr treatment does suppress seed production for 2 years, a valuable tool, and kills a portion of the plants

• Imazapyr is also less effective on small plants and seedlings (presumably insufficient leaf surface area)









San Francisco ESTUARY INVASIVE Spartina Project

Preserving native wetlands

Spartina densiflora

Mowing of previously herbicide-treated Spartina densiflora in mid-elevation marsh

dead (or partially-dead) above-ground biomass allowing for best assessments of current plant status and development of an adaptive IPM (Integrated Pest Management) reatment strategy

• Weakens the reserves of the plants by interrupting the transfer of nutrients back down to perennial roots (November 2008)





6/28/10: Lush pickleweed & native Spartina foliosa colonizing Creekside Park marsh that was a Spartina densiflora meadow less than 2 years ago (adjacent photos). Methods: imazapyr herbicide treatment and mowing to the ground supported by digging

Successful control with imazapyr has resulted



Pickleweed Park/Tiscornia Marsh Before treatment in 2003





One example of a successfully implemented ISP Site-Specific Control Plan with an adaptive IPM strategy that developed over several years and was applied to similar sites around the estuary

2004 – Digging with Conservation Corps on large, mature plants

2005-2008 – Imazapyr application once a year

rom 2005-2007, the herbicide treatment was conducted in September ecause of clapper rail restrictions. This late treatment was much less effective on the senescent plants & viable seed was produced in quantities.

2009 & 2010 – Several hours of manual removal 2X in 2009 (spring/autumn). 1 2010, just a single small area with a cluster of 15 seedlings left at this site.





