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Can it be done in this regulatory climate?
Irrigated Lands Conditional Waiver

“Conditional Waiver” of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from Irrigated Lands
- Sacramento, San Joaquin River and Tulare Lake Basin

Adopted by Regional Board
July 11, 2003
Irrigated Lands Conditional Waiver

Applies to All Irrigated Lands

- Cropland
- Irrigated pasture
- Managed wetlands
- Nurseries

No dry land farming or native pasture
Discharges Covered

- Surface runoff (irrigation tailwater)
- Subsurface drainage discharged to surface
- Operational spills (irrigation canals)
- Storm water runoff
“Waters of the State”

- Applies to waters in public and private channels
- Applies to waters in natural and constructed waterways
- No exceedances of state/federal water quality criteria, numerical or narrative
Grower Options for Conditional Waiver

- Form / join watershed coalition
  - So growers / irrigators don’t need to file individual waste discharge requirements
  - Per acre charges to cover costs

- Individual discharger
  - Individual Waste Discharge Requirement (WDR) for each farm or discharger
  - Annual permit can range from $600 to thousands based on the threat to water quality
**Why Water Coalitions?**

- Economies of scale
- Reduced cost for landowners/operators
- Effective means to solve non-point source water quality problems
Watershed Coalitions

Organizational structure
- Agricultural interests
- Irrigation districts
- Some develop non profit organizations
Central Valley Coalitions

- **Sacramento Valley Water Quality Coalition**
  - 10 Regional Subwatershed groups
    - David Guy
      - Aaron Ferguson

- **California Rice Commission**
  - Tim Johnson

- **San Joaquin County & Delta Water Quality Coalition**
  - John B. Meek

- **Westside San Joaquin River Watershed Coalition**
  - Joseph C. McGahan

- **East San Joaquin Water Quality Coalition**
  - Parry Klassen
    - Coalition for Urban/Rural Environmental Stewardship
    - Wayne Zipser
      - Stanislaus County Farm Bureau

- **Southern San Joaquin Valley Water Quality Coalition**
  - David Orth, Kings River Conservation District

- **Westlands Water District**
  - Thaddeus Bettner

- **Root Creek Water District**
  - James Provost
Why monitor and for what?

Central Valley Coalition Monitoring Plan Goals

- To assess sources and impacts of waste in discharges
- Track progress towards lowering discharges and meeting TMDL goals
- Identify sources and extent of pollution, develop solutions based on BMPs
Constituents of Concern

Includes any constituent that can affect the quality of waters of the State

- Pesticides
- Nutrients (N, P, K)
- Salt/boron
- Selenium
- Sediment
- E coli
- Metals

**Ambients**

- Flow
- Temperature
- pH
- EC
- Turbidity
- Dissolved Oxygen
- Total Organic Carbon
Synthetic Pyrethroids

- **Common names**
  - Bifenthrin
  - Cyfluthrin
  - Cyhalothrin
  - Cypermethrin
  - Deltamethrin
  - Fenpropathrin
  - Esfenvalerate
  - Permethrin
  - Tralomethrin
  - Zeta-cypermethrin

- **Trade names**
  - Capture; Brigade
  - Baythroid
  - Karate; Warrior
  - Ammo
  - Decis
  - Danitol
  - Asana
  - Pounce; Ambush
  - Scout
  - Fury
## Major Crops Uses for Pyrethroids (lbs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PISTACHIO</td>
<td>11208</td>
<td>15025</td>
<td>14603</td>
<td>26806</td>
<td>15979</td>
<td>22877</td>
<td>31673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETTUCE, HEAD</td>
<td>50013</td>
<td>51484</td>
<td>30896</td>
<td>32004</td>
<td>32657</td>
<td>28763</td>
<td>29390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALMOND</td>
<td>26618</td>
<td>26108</td>
<td>25653</td>
<td>24241</td>
<td>28036</td>
<td>28810</td>
<td>26809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LETTUCE, LEAF</td>
<td>22103</td>
<td>22668</td>
<td>14821</td>
<td>15564</td>
<td>17281</td>
<td>18047</td>
<td>20045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALFALFA</td>
<td>26670</td>
<td>27155</td>
<td>28559</td>
<td>35799</td>
<td>20858</td>
<td>20122</td>
<td>19940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COTTON</td>
<td>25032</td>
<td>28167</td>
<td>16302</td>
<td>14963</td>
<td>17192</td>
<td>17326</td>
<td>19332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE</td>
<td>9787</td>
<td>8888</td>
<td>6599</td>
<td>2869</td>
<td>6844</td>
<td>8670</td>
<td>9133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORN (FORAGE - FODDER)</td>
<td>5907</td>
<td>6554</td>
<td>5520</td>
<td>6418</td>
<td>15896</td>
<td>7846</td>
<td>8696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOMATO, PROCESSING</td>
<td>9407</td>
<td>10384</td>
<td>9660</td>
<td>6503</td>
<td>5578</td>
<td>4799</td>
<td>7595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEACH</td>
<td>9238</td>
<td>10047</td>
<td>8543</td>
<td>10877</td>
<td>10624</td>
<td>10232</td>
<td>7454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORN, HUMAN CONSUMPTION</td>
<td>6924</td>
<td>7444</td>
<td>7292</td>
<td>6180</td>
<td>4934</td>
<td>7062</td>
<td>7154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALNUT</td>
<td>6539</td>
<td>8284</td>
<td>6308</td>
<td>4501</td>
<td>4544</td>
<td>3856</td>
<td>4653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOMATO</td>
<td>2991</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>2803</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>2507</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>3555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NECTARINE</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>1381</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL**

|                  | 307259| 344711| 336189| 417104| 421371| 486737| 567483 |
Central Valley
Water Monitoring Programs

*Coalition funded*

- Phase 1: began July 1, 2004
- Phase 2: no later than 2 years after starting phase 1
- Phase 3: no later than 2 years after starting phase 2

*Regional Water Board funded*

- Phase 1: Completed 2003 by UC Davis / Victor de Vlaming
- Phase 2: Managed by UC Davis / Michael Johnson
  - 25 + sites in Central Valley 2004 - 2006
  - $3 million budget
Water Monitoring Requirements

- Water column
  - Toxicity testing
  - Water chemistry
    - 303d listed constituents
- Sediment toxicity test

Sampling Schedule (water column)
- Monthly during irrigation season
- Two winter storms events

Sampling Schedule (sediment)
- Spring/Fall

*All field sampling followed Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)*
Water Monitoring Requirements

Toxicity Testing
- Species representing three trophic levels
  - Water flea (Ceriodaphnia dubia)
  - Fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas).
  - Green algae (Selanastrum capricornutum).

Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE)
- Performed in three phases to identify a cause(s),
  - Phase I: identify general class of contaminant responsible for toxicity
  - Phase II: identify specific contaminant
  - Phase III: confirmatory analysis

After three phase TIE...
Sufficient information generally available to ID contaminant causing toxicity.
- Not uncommon to complete TIE and be unable to identify a specific class of contaminant responsible for toxicity.
- Then assigned “unknown toxicity”
Water Monitoring Requirements

**Sediment Toxicity Testing**

- Pore water (water between sediment particles)
  - Pore water contains dissolved (bioavailable) fraction of the contaminant(s).
  - Toxicity tests performed same manner as water column tests

- Intact sediments (bed of waterway)
  - Testing organisms (U.S. EPA protocols): Hyalella azteca
  - Species broadly distributed/found naturally in Central Valley.
  - Live on or in sediment; exposed to the contaminants in pore water

- Collecting sediment cores
  - Remove top layer of sediment with a stainless steel scoop
  - Fine sediments used in toxicity tests. Coarse sediments tend to consist of sand and retain very few contaminants.
Event 1
July 31, 2004

Water Chemistry analysis

August Road Drain
- Dimethoate: 0.31 µg/L
- TDS: 1400mg/L

Duck Slough
- Chlorpyrifos (Lorsban): 0.045 µg/L.
  - Duplicate sample taken at Duck Slough showed no detection of chlorpyrifos.
- Trifluralin (Treflan): 0.045 µg/L.
  - Trifluralin was not detected in the original sample but was detected in the duplicate.
Event 3  
September 29, 2004

- Toxicity Tests: All sites
  - Ceriodaphnia: no toxicity
  - Fathead minnows: no toxicity
- Duck Slough
  - Algal test: Significantly reduced growth of algae

Water chemistry analysis
- Duck Slough
  - Esfenvalerate at 0.05 µg/L
- August Road Drain
  - Diazinon at 0.026 µg/L
Westside San Joaquin River Watershed Coalition

Storm Runoff Monitoring Results
*Del Puerto, Ingram, Hospital, Orestimba Creeks*

- **December 29, 2004**
  - Diazinon
  - Dimethoate
  - Prowl
  - Simazine
  - Triflurilran (Treflan)
  - Prometryn
Water Quality Monitoring

Inform CV Regional Board

Detects

- Inform watershed users of constituent(s) detected
- Refine monitoring plan

No detects

Compile / study / distribute info on BMPs with assistance from:
CURES, NRCS, Univ. of CA, Farm Bureau, commodity groups
County Ag Commissioners, Ag Retailers

If no improvement in water quality...

Mandate practices: DPR-Ag Commissioner (in case of pesticides), Regional Board (WDR), Counties

If no improvement in water quality...

Further restrict / cancel use (if pesticide)

No action; ongoing outreach
Action Plan
Approaches for BMPs
(Best Management Practices)

- **Goal: Create list of options**

- **Application BMPs**
  - Lower label rates
  - Mix and load properly
  - Calibrate equipment
  - New sprayer technology

- **Site BMPs (orchard)**
  - Cover crops: native perennials, legumes
  - Grassy row centers during dormancy
  - Vegetative filter strips
  - Grassed waterways
  - Drainage management
Watershed Coalitions

Key Questions

- Is monitoring providing accurate assessment of watershed conditions?
- Moving sites upstream: a question of where?
- More sampling frequency, more $
- When does it end…
Coalition Sampling
Ag Drains

Waterway Characteristics

- Low flows
- Multiple in-flows (irritation tailwater, natural runoff)
- Can have medium to high sediment loads
2005 Coalition Sampling Programs

- Monitor for pesticides used upstream of sampling site
- Many pesticides do not have established water quality criteria
- Default criteria: LD50 / 10
Watershed Coalitions

Key Questions

- Can multiple constituents found in a sample create matrix “noise” that reduces accuracy of the results, creating false positives or false negatives?
What does it mean?

Accurate data interpretation key to actions

- Can policy makers use the information
- What is the level of confidence in its accuracy