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Today’s Talk

- Rules
- Tools for Following the Rules
- Questions
Why Have Rules?

Because there is a Problem
What’s the Problem?

• People don’t like pesticides
• People really don’t like pesticides in their water
• Risk = Toxicity, right?
• 1996 Talent Irrigation District
  – leaking gate releases acrolein-treated water; 92K steelhead die
• On-going Clean Water Act 303(d) List
2010 303(d) List: California’s 2,496 Impaired Surface Waterbodies
2010: California’s Number of Pesticide-Specific Impaired Water Bodies

- Legacy Use (54%)
- Current Use (46%)
- Aquatic Pesticides (0%)
What is NPDES?

- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
- Part of the Federal Clean Water Act
- Typical Uses: stormwater, construction, industrial discharges
- Benefits:
  - Protect water quality
  - Allows discharge
  - Provides legal protection
Who Uses NPDES?

• Everyone. No Exceptions
• 45 States Manage NPDES themselves
• 5 States Do Not: ID, AK, NM, MA, VT and NH
• Others Do Not, too: D.C., US Territories, Tribal Lands and Federal Facilities
• California’s Permit in place since 2001
How Do I Know if I Need an NPDES Permit?

- You “discharge” a “pollutant” to “Waters of U.S.”
- Your pesticide application leaves a “residue”
- Your applications are to, over or near water
- Perceived CWA Violations and Subsequent Citizen Lawsuits Concern You
Excess or an amount that does not kill or injure after 7 days is a “Pollutant”

“Waters of the US”
Waters of the US
“WOUS”

• **All interstate waters** and wetlands currently, formally or potentially used in interstate commerce;

• **All other waters**, including intrastate waters the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate or foreign commerce

• Waters which are or could be used:
  – by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes;
  – for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce
  – to take fish or shellfish and sold in interstate or foreign commerce; or
“Waters of the US”

- Adjacent Wetlands and Ponds
- Tributaries
- Seasonally intermittent streams ultimately emptying into a WOUS
“Waters of the US”

Excludes:

• Waste treatment ponds
• Artificial lakes/ponds created by excavating/diking dry land to collect/retain water used exclusively for stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing
• Above the “ordinary high water mark”
“Waters of the US”

Yes or No?
Ordinary High Water Mark

• “…that line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water and indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear, natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter and debris, or other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding areas.”

Source: 33 CFR 328.3(e)
The EPA Permit

- Does **not** apply in CA
“Current” California Permit Requirements

- Submit Notice of Intent (NOI)
- Prepare and Execute an Aquatic Pesticide Application Plan (APAP)
- Sample & Analyze
- Report Annually
Chemical Control

- Currently only 12 registered for Use in CA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemicals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triclopyr TEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diquat Dibromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penoxsulam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyphosate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluridone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$CO$_3$ Peroxyhydrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Ionic Surfactants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imazapyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Who Enforces this?

- **Unlikely:**
  - SWRCB
  - RWQCB
  - Ag Commissioner
  - CDFG

- **Likely:**
  - Environmental Advocacy Groups
What Now?
The California’s Aquatic Weed Permit: 2012

- Key Potential Revisions:
  - 3 samples/application (used to be 4)
  - Glyphosate testing reduced to 1x/yr (Used to be unlimited)
  - Sample 6 in row with <WQO, then 1x/yr thereafter
  - Group or Watershed Monitoring
  - Impact: Likely $$ Saved
What Now?
The California’s Aquatic Weed Permit: 2012

- Adoption Hearing on November 6, 2012
- Revised APAP needed
- No CEQA “redo” for Copper and acrolein
Can You Answer These Questions:

- What’s the Problem?
- Do I Need an NPDES Permit?
- Will the EPA Permit Mean Anything in CA?
- Could the cost of compliance be less the next 5 years?
- Will the Panda lead the Giants past the Dodgers for the NL West title?
The Future: Stay Informed

- California Aquatic Pesticide Permit ("Weed Permit")

- Goby 11 Injunction
  - [http://www.epa.gov/oppfead1/endanger/litstatus/factsheet.html](http://www.epa.gov/oppfead1/endanger/litstatus/factsheet.html)

- Red-Legged Frog Injunction
  - [http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/endspec/rl_frog/index.htm](http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/endspec/rl_frog/index.htm)
  - [http://www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/redleg-frog/rlf.htm](http://www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/redleg-frog/rlf.htm)

- Salmonid Injunction
  - [http://www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/wtc/maps.htm](http://www.epa.gov/espp/litstatus/wtc/maps.htm)
Breaking News: New Aquatic Weed Tool Available & Requires No Permit

Introducing: Aqua-Cow™
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