

Part IV. Plant Assessment Form

For use with “Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands”
by the California Exotic Pest Plant Council and the Southwest Vegetation Management Association

Electronic version, February 28, 2003

Table 1. Species and Evaluator Information

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Species name (Latin binomial): | Spartina anglica C.E. Hubbard |
| Synonyms: | |
| Common names: | English Cordgrass, common cordgrass |
| Evaluation date (mm/dd/yy): | April 15, 2004, July 21, 2005 |
| Evaluator #1 Name/Title: | Dr. Debra Ayres |
| Affiliation: | UC Davis |
| Phone numbers: | (530) 752-6852 |
| Email address: | drayres@ucdavis.edu |
| Address: | Evolution and Ecology, 1 Shields Ave., Davis, CA, 95616 |
| Evaluator #2 Name/Title: | Cynthia L. Roye, Associate State Park Resource Ecologist |
| Affiliation: | California State Parks |
| Phone numbers: | (916) 653-9083 |
| Email address: | croye@parks.ca.gov |
| Address: | California State Parks, Natural Resources Division, PO Box 942896, Sacramento, CA 94296-0001 |

Section below for list committee use—please leave blank

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| List committee members: | Carla Bossard, Joe DiTomaso, John Randall, Jake Sigg, Alison Stanton, Peter Warner, Cynthia Roye |
| Committee review date: | 05/14/04, revised 8/15/05 |
| List date: | enter text here |
| Re-evaluation date(s): | enter text here |

General comments on this assessment:

This plant is found in one population in Creekside Park, Marin County, on the west side of San Francisco Bay where it has spread about slowly from the site of its introduction in the 1970s. Despite this slow spread here, the plant has been known to have invaded estuaries worldwide including Puget Sound, WA, Tasmania, France, New Zealand, and the UK.

Table 2. Criteria, Section, and Overall Scores

| | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| 1.1 | Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes | A | Observational |
| 1.2 | Impact on plant community | C | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |
| 1.3 | Impact on higher trophic levels | U | Other Pub. Mat'l |
| 1.4 | Impact on genetic integrity | D | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |

Impact

Enter four characters from Q1.1-1.4 below:

ACUD

Using matrix, determine score and enter below:

B

| | | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|
| 2.1 | Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance | 3 pts | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |
| 2.2 | Local rate of spread with no management | 2 pts | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |
| 2.3 | Recent trend in total area infested within state | 2 pts | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |
| 2.4 | Innate reproductive potential Wksht A | 2 pts | Other Pub. Mat'l |
| 2.5 | Potential for human-caused dispersal | 0 pts | Anecdotal |
| 2.6 | Potential for natural long-distance dispersal | 3 pts | Observational |
| 2.7 | Other regions invaded | 1 pt | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |

Invasiveness

Enter the sum total of all points for Q2.1-2.7 below:

13

Use matrix to determine score and enter below:

B

Plant Score

Using matrix, determine Overall Score and Alert Status from the three section scores and enter below:

Medium

Red Alert

| | | | |
|---------------------|---|----------|--------------------------|
| 3.1 | Ecological amplitude/Range | D | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |
| 3.2 | Distribution/Peak frequency Wksht C | D | Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n |

Distribution

Using matrix, determine score and enter below:

D

Table 3. Documentation

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Question 1.1 Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes</p> | <p>A Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Identify ecosystem processes impacted: Sediment accretion.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: <i>S. anglica</i> accretes sediment and elevates marsh, transforming naturally open tidal mudflats into meadows.</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: This has NOT occurred in SF Bay (observational information); sediment accretion has been observed in other estuaries (including within native British range); sediment accretion has been documented: Pringle, A.W. 1993. <i>Spartina anglica</i> colonisation and physical effects in the Tamar Estuary, Tasmania 1971-91. Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania 127:1-10.</p> | |
| <p>Question 1.2 Impact on plant community composition, structure, and interactions</p> | <p>B Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Identify type of impact or alteration: <i>S. anglica</i> is slowly spreading in a single marsh in Marin County. (Creekside Park) at about the elevation of <i>S. foliosa</i>.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: Where this species has been introduced, it transforms open mud into cordgrass meadows. In Britain <i>S. anglica</i> has also invaded low intertidal eel grass beds. However, it is not rapidly spreading in SF Bay, possibly due to climatic restrictions (Daehler and Strong, 1996).</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Ayres, D.R., K. Zaremba, S. Klohr, D.R.Strong.. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrids (<i>Spartina</i> sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay. <i>Biological Invasions</i> 6:221-231. Daehler, C.C., and D.R. Strong. 1996. Status, prediction, and prevention of introduced cordgrass <i>Spartina</i> spp. invasions in Pacific estuaries, USA. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 78:51-58.</p> | |
| <p>Question 1.3 Impact on higher trophic levels</p> | <p>U Other Pub. Mat'l back</p> |
| <p>Identify type of impact or alteration: Potential removal of bird foraging habitat through cordgrass overgrowth of open mudflat habitat -- this is NOT occurring in SF Bay.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: Open mudflat is valuable forage ground for migratory and residential shorebirds, but there is no evidence of this in SF Bay at this time.</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Pt. Reyes Bird Observatory has assembled a bibliography on maritime cordgrass impacts on shorebirds. An example (for <i>S. anglica</i>) Goss-Custard, J.D., R.T. Clarke, D. Durell, S.V., Caldow, R.W., and B.J. Ens. 1995. Population consequences of winter habitat loss in a migratory shorebird. II Model predictions. <i>Journal of Applied Ecology</i> 32: 337-351.</p> | |
| <p>Question 1.4 Impact on genetic integrity</p> | <p>D Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Identify impacts: <i>S. anglica</i> cannot interbreed with any native species due to wide differences in chromosome</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| numbers (62 for foliosa, 122 for anglica). | |
| Rationale: enter text here | |
| Sources of information: Aryes, D.R. and D.R. Strong. 2001. Origin and genetic diversity of <i>Spartina anglica</i> C.E. Hubbard (Poaceae). American Journal of Botany 88:1863-1867. | |
| Question 2.1 Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance in establishment | A Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back |
| Describe role of disturbance: Initially planted, slow spread occurring by seed. | |
| Rationale: Seedlings likely to establish in open mud, as do other maritime cordgrasses. However, <i>S. anglica</i> has not spread more than 200 m from the largest (and possibly founding "mother") in 25 years. | |
| Sources of information: Ayres et al. 2004. | |
| Question 2.2 Local rate of spread with no management | B Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back |
| Describe rate of spread: Slowly spreading. | |
| Rationale: <i>S. anglica</i> has not spread more than 200 m from the largest (and possibly founding "mother") in 25 years. | |
| Sources of information: Ayres, D.R., D.L. Smith, K. Zaremba, S. Klohr, D.R. Strong. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrids (<i>Spartina</i> sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay. Biological Invasions 6: 221-231. | |
| Question 2.3 Recent trend in total area infested within state | B Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back |
| Describe trend: Slowly spreading | |
| Rationale: <i>S. anglica</i> has not spread more than 200 m from the largest (and possibly founding "mother") in 25 years. | |
| Sources of information: Ayres, D.R., D.L. Smith, K. Zaremba, S. Klohr, D.R. Strong. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrids (<i>Spartina</i> sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay. Biological Invasions 6: 221-231. | |
| Question 2.4 Innate reproductive potential | B Other Pub. Mat'l back |
| Describe key reproductive characteristics: <i>S. anglica</i> produces fertile seed and persists as long-lived clones spreading via rhizomes. | |
| Rationale: Although Ayres original score was "A," the committee questioned the number of viable seeds | |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>produced per square meter and the reference originally cited (Ayres et al. 2004) did not quantify the answer to this question definitively. Written Findings of the Washington State Weed Control Board suggest fewer viable seeds per square m. This document also states that seed production is sustained for more than three months per year, resulting in a score of 5 (B), for question 2.4. The overall plant score remains Medium.</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Written Findings of the Washington State Weed Control Board as accessed on the Web @ http://www.nwcb.wa.gov/weed_info/commoncordgrass.html on 08/20/04.</p> <p>Ayres, D.R., D.L. Smith, K. Zaremba, S. Klohr, D.R. Strong. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrids (<i>Spartina</i> sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay. <i>Biological Invasions</i> 6: 221-231.</p> | |
| <p>Question 2.5 Potential for human-caused dispersal</p> | <p>D Anecdotal back</p> |
| <p>Identify dispersal mechanisms: Intentional introduction only.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: enter text here</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Anecdotal.</p> | |
| <p>Question 2.6 Potential for natural long-distance dispersal</p> | <p>A Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Identify dispersal mechanisms: Seed floating on the tides; seed contained in rafts of cordgrass wrack.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: Cordgrass seed is able to float for long periods; seed-containing wrack is able to move long distances. Long distance dispersal on tidal currents is feasible.</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Huiskes, A.H.L., B.P. Koustaal, W.G. Beeflink, M.M. Markusse, and W. De Munck. 1995. Seed dispersal of halophytes in tidal salt marshes. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 83: 559-567.</p> <p>Sayce, Kathleen. Personal Communication on dispersal of <i>S. alterniflora</i> from Willapa Bay to Greys Harbor, WA.</p> | |
| <p>Question 2.7 Other regions invaded</p> | <p>C Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Identify other regions: <i>Spartina anglica</i> has invaded estuaries worldwide including Puget Sound, WA, Tasmania, France, and the UK, but only this habitat type.</p> | |
| <p>Rationale: Open mudflat habitat is native to the Pacific coast and may be vulnerable to invasion by <i>S. anglica</i>.</p> | |
| <p>Sources of information: Daehler, C.C., and D.R. Strong. 1996. Status, prediction, and prevention of introduced cordgrass <i>Spartina</i> spp. invasions in Pacific estuaries, USA. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 78:51-58.</p> | |
| <p>Question 3.1 Ecological amplitude/Range</p> | <p>D Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p> |
| <p>Describe ecological amplitude, identifying date of source information and approximate date of introduction to the state, if known: Introduced to San Francisco Bay during the 1977 restoration of Creekside Park in Marin</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| County. Currently grows in a small total area, 324 square meters, of the park, growing at the same elevations as the native <i>S. foliosa</i> (channel sides and bottoms; i.e. wetter areas). | |
| Rationale: | |
| Sources of information: Ayres et al. 2004. Strong and Lee, unpublished data. | |
| Question 3.2 Distribution/Peak frequency | D Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back |
| Describe distribution: Plants occur 200m or less from the original discovery (Spicher, pers. obs.) in Creekside Park, Marin County in channels radiating from this plant. | |
| Rationale: Has not been found in other California estuaries. | |
| Sources of information: Ayres, D.R., D.L. Smith, K. Zaremba, S. Klohr, D.R. Strong. 2004. Spread of exotic cordgrasses and hybrid (Spartina sp.) in the tidal marshes of San Francisco Bay. <i>Biological Invasions</i> 6: 221-231. | |

Worksheet A[back](#)

| | |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Reaches reproductive maturity in 2 years or less | Unknown: 0 pts |
| Dense infestations produce >1,000 viable seed per square meter | No: 0 pts |
| Populations of this species produce seeds every year. | Yes: 1 pt |
| Seed production sustained over 3 or more months within a population annually | Yes: 1 pt |
| Seeds remain viable in soil for three or more years | No: 0 pts |
| Viable seed produced with <i>both</i> self-pollination and cross-pollination | Yes: 1 pt |
| Has quickly spreading vegetative structures (rhizomes, roots, etc.) that may root at nodes | Yes: 1 pt |
| Fragments easily and fragments can become established elsewhere | No: 0 pts |
| Resprouts readily when cut, grazed, or burned | Yes: 1 pt |
| | 5 pts 1 unknown |
| | B (4-5 pts) |
| Note any related traits: Spread generally occurs in three phases: an initial colonisation period, followed by slow clonal growth as seedlings establish. Once established, a burst of prolific clonal expansion. Seed production increases with marsh development. The answers are based on observations elsewhere. | |

Worksheet C - California Ecological Types

[back](#)

(*sensu* Holland 1986)

| Major Ecological Types | Minor Ecological Types | Code* |
|--|--|------------|
| Marine Systems | marine systems | score |
| Freshwater and Estuarine Aquatic Systems | lakes, ponds, reservoirs | score |
| | rivers, streams, canals | score |
| | estuaries | D. present |
| Dunes | coastal | score |
| | desert | score |
| | interior | score |
| Scrub and Chaparral | coastal bluff scrub | score |
| | coastal scrub | score |
| | Sonoran desert scrub | score |
| | Mojavean desert scrub (incl. Joshua tree woodland) | score |
| | Great Basin scrub | score |
| | chenopod scrub | score |
| | montane dwarf scrub | score |
| | Upper Sonoran subshrub scrub | score |
| | chaparral | score |
| Grasslands, Vernal Pools, Meadows, and other Herb Communities | coastal prairie | score |
| | valley and foothill grassland | score |
| | Great Basin grassland | score |
| | vernal pool | score |
| | meadow and seep | score |
| | alkali playa | score |
| | pebble plain | score |
| Bog and Marsh | bog and fen | score |
| | marsh and swamp | score |
| Riparian and Bottomland | riparian forest | score |
| | riparian woodland | score |
| | riparian scrub (incl. desert washes) | score |
| Woodland | cismontane woodland | score |
| | piñon and juniper woodland | score |
| | Sonoran thorn woodland | score |
| Forest | broadleaved upland forest | score |
| | North Coast coniferous forest | score |
| | closed cone coniferous forest | score |
| | lower montane coniferous forest | score |
| | upper montane coniferous forest | score |
| | subalpine coniferous forest | score |
| Alpine Habitats | alpine boulder and rock field | score |
| | alpine dwarf scrub | score |

* A. means >50% of type occurrences are invaded; B means >20% to 50%; C. means >5% to 20%; D. means present but ≤5%; U. means unknown (unable to estimate percentage of occurrences invaded).