

Part IV. Plant Assessment Form

For use with “Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands”
by the California Exotic Pest Plant Council and the Southwest Vegetation Management Association

Electronic version, February 28, 2003

Table 1. Species and Evaluator Information

Species name (Latin binomial):	Polygonum sachalinense Maxim.
Synonyms:	Fallopia sachalinensis, Reynoutia sachalinensis
Common names:	giant knotweed, sakhalin knotweed, sacaline
Evaluation date (mm/dd/yy):	2/28/05
Evaluator #1 Name/Title:	Elizabeth Brusati, project manager
Affiliation:	California Invasive Plant Council
Phone numbers:	510-843-3902
Email address:	edbrusati@cal-ipc.org
Address:	1442A Walnut St. #462, Berkeley, CA 94709
Evaluator #2 Name/Title:	Joseph DiTomaso
Affiliation:	University of California-Davis
Phone numbers:	530-754-8715
Email address:	jmditomaso@ucdavis.edu
Address:	Dept. Plant Sci., Mail Stop 4, Davis, CA 95616

Section below for list committee use—please leave blank

List committee members:	Carla Bossard, John Randall, Carri Piroso, Dan Gluesenkamp, Gina Skurka, Brianna Richardson
Committee review date:	7/8/05
List date:	enter text here
Re-evaluation date(s):	enter text here

General comments on this assessment:

enter text here

Table 2. Criteria, Section, and Overall Scores

1.1	Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes	B	Other Pub. Mat'l
1.2	Impact on plant community	B	Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n
1.3	Impact on higher trophic levels	B	Other Pub. Mat'l
1.4	Impact on genetic integrity	U	No Information

Impact

Enter four characters from Q1.1-1.4 below:

BBBU

Using matrix, determine score and enter below:

B

2.1	Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance	B (2 pts)	Other Pub. Mat'l
2.2	Local rate of spread with no management	B (2 pts)	Observational
2.3	Recent trend in total area infested within state	C (1 pt)	Other Pub. Mat'l
2.4	Innate reproductive potential Wksht A	B (2 pts)	Other Pub. Mat'l
2.5	Potential for human-caused dispersal	C (1 pt)	Other Pub. Mat'l
2.6	Potential for natural long-distance dispersal	B (2 pts)	Anecdotal
2.7	Other regions invaded	A (3 pts)	Other Pub. Mat'l

Invasiveness

Enter the sum total of all points for Q2.1-2.7 below:

13

Use matrix to determine score and enter below:

B

Plant Score

Using matrix, determine Overall Score and Alert Status from the three section scores and enter below:

Medium

Red Alert

3.1	Ecological amplitude/Range	D	Other Pub. Mat'l
3.2	Distribution/Peak frequency Wksht C	D	Anecdotal

Distribution

Using matrix, determine score and enter below:

D

Table 3. Documentation

<p>Question 1.1 Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes</p>	<p>B Other Pub. Mat'l back</p>
<p>Identify ecosystem processes impacted: Decreases water flow, increases erosion. Does not appear to be as bad as <i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i>.</p>	
<p>Rationale: Thickets can clog small water ways. Creates bank erosion problems and is considered a flood control hazard.</p>	
<p>Sources of information: 1. Anonymous. 2005. Invasive Knotweeds. King County (Washington) Noxious Weed Control Program Weed Alert. King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks, Water and Land Resources Division, Noxious Weed Control Program. Available: http://dnr.metrokc.gov/weeds</p>	
<p>Question 1.2 Impact on plant community composition, structure, and interactions</p>	<p>B Rev'd, Sci. Pub'n back</p>
<p>Identify type of impact or alteration: Creates dense colonies that exclude other vegetation (1). Possibly allelopathic. Not as widespread as <i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i> in the NW so impacts are probably less.</p>	
<p>Rationale: Early emergence and height of knotweeds (4m) allows them to shade out other species and prevent revegetation (2). Root exudates inhibited lettuce seedling growth (3).</p>	
<p>Sources of information: 1. DiTomaso, J., and E. Healy. in prep. Weeds of California and Other Western States. 2. Seiger, L. 1991. Element Stewardship Abstract for <i>Polygonum cuspidatum</i>. The Nature Conservancy, Arlington, VA. Accessed on-line at www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu 3. Inoue, M., H. Nishimura, H.-H. Li, and J. Mizutani. 1992. Allelochemicals from <i>Polygonum sachalinense</i> Fr. Schm. (Polygonaceae). <i>Journal of Chemical Ecology</i>. 18:1833-1840</p>	
<p>Question 1.3 Impact on higher trophic levels</p>	<p>B Other Pub. Mat'l back</p>
<p>Identify type of impact or alteration: Decreases value of riparian habitat for fish and wildlife.</p>	
<p>Rationale: If it blocks streams, fish passage will be inhibited.</p>	
<p>Sources of information: 1. Anonymous 2005</p>	
<p>Question 1.4 Impact on genetic integrity</p>	<p>U No Information back</p>
<p>Identify impacts: There are numerous native and introduced <i>Polygonum</i> species in California (1). No information on hybridization with native species, but <i>P. sachalinense</i> is known to hybridize with other introduced knotweeds (2), so hybridization with natives seems possible. Closely related to and hybridizes with Japanese knotweed. No information on hybridization with native <i>Polygonum</i> species.</p>	
<p>Rationale: enter text here</p>	

Sources of information: 1. Hickman, J. C. (ed.) 1993. The Jepson Manual, Higher Plants of California. University of California Press. Berkeley, CA	
2. Tu, M., and J. Randall. 2003. 2003 Cal-IPC Red Alert! Proceedings of the California Invasive Plant Council Symposium 2003. Available: www.cal-ipc.org	
Question 2.1 Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance in establishment	B Other Pub. Mat'l back
Describe role of disturbance: Inhabits riparian areas, forest edges, and other disturbed moist places.	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: 1. DiTomaso and Healy. 2006. Weeds of California. UC DANR Publ. #3488..	
Question 2.2 Local rate of spread with no management	B Observational back
Describe rate of spread: Rhizomes spread very quickly in Oregon, but has not spread much in California. One patch near the Central Coast has been there a few years and has not spread much.	
Rationale: Sounds like an A but need better documentation for this.	
Sources of information: Tu, Mandy. Personal communication. The Nature Conservancy, Invasive Species Program. Portland, OR. www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu	
DiTomaso, observational.	
Question 2.3 Recent trend in total area infested within state	C Other Pub. Mat'l back
Describe trend: Currently present in a limited area, but may have the potential to spread widely (1, 2, 3).	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: 1. DiTomaso and Healy. 2006. Weeds of California. UC DANR Publ. #3488.	
2. Tu and Randall 2003	
3. Tu, Mandy. Personal communication. The Nature Conservancy, Invasive Species Program. Portland, OR. www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu	
Question 2.4 Innate reproductive potential	B Other Pub. Mat'l back
Describe key reproductive characteristics: Fast-growing perennial that can grow up to 4m tall in one year. Mostly vegetative reproduction through fast-growing rhizomes. Fragments can develop into new plants (1). Seed production thought not to be important in northwestern U.S. (2).	
Rationale: enter text here	

Sources of information: 1. DiTomaso and Healy. 2006. Weeds of California. UC DANR Publ. #3488.	
2. Tu, Mandy. Personal communication. The Nature Conservancy, Invasive Species Program. Portland, OR. www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu	
Question 2.5 Potential for human-caused dispersal	C Other Pub. Mat'l back
Identify dispersal mechanisms: In Washington and Oregon, was originally introduced as a ornamental (1), but is no longer used for this purpose. Because it occurs along roadsides, rhizome fragments could be carried by vehicles. Other knotweeds are carried in fill dirt (2).	
Rationale: 1. Tu and Randall 2003	
2. Seigel 1991	
Sources of information: enter text here	
Question 2.6 Potential for natural long-distance dispersal	B Anecdotal back
Identify dispersal mechanisms: Because knotweed it can occur along riparian areas, rhizome fragments could be transported by water.	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: Need documentation for this.	
Question 2.7 Other regions invaded	A Other Pub. Mat'l back
Identify other regions: Native to Japan and the Sakhalin Islands. A problem in the northeastern U.S., northern midwest, and England. Recent invader rapidly becoming a problem in the Pacific Northwest (1). Currently invades habitats in Oregon and Washington that are present but not invaded in California (2). Potential to invade riparian areas and forests, but does not appear to tolerate shade conditions well, so is unlikely to be a major problem in the forests and riparian areas under tree canopies.	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: 1. Tu and Randall 2003	
2. Tu, Mandy. Personal communication. The Nature Conservancy, Invasive Species Program. Portland, OR. www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu	
Question 3.1 Ecological amplitude/Range	D Other Pub. Mat'l back
Describe ecological amplitude, identifying date of source information and approximate date of introduction to the state, if known: Recent invader that is currently uncommon. Inhabits disturbed moist sites, roadsides, riparian areas, and wetlands. In northwestern California, Cascade Range, San Francisco Bay Area, northern Sierra Nevada, northern Central Valley, to 500m (1). In the northwest, also invades forest edges and cobble bars in streams. Under experimental conditions, plants do not adjust photosynthetic rates to low light levels and appear	

unable to adapt to shaded conditions (1).	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: 1. DiTomaso and Healy. 2006. Weeds of California. UC DANR Publ. #3488. 2. Tu, Mandy. Personal communication. The Nature Conservancy, Invasive Species Program. Portland, OR. www.tncweeds.ucdavis.edu	
Question 3.2 Distribution/Peak frequency	D Anecdotal back
Describe distribution: Uncommon in California so far.	
Rationale: enter text here	
Sources of information: CDFA	

Worksheet A[back](#)

Reaches reproductive maturity in 2 years or less	Yes: 1 pt
Dense infestations produce >1,000 viable seed per square meter	No: 0 pts
Populations of this species produce seeds every year.	No: 0 pt
Seed production sustained over 3 or more months within a population annually	No: 0 pt
Seeds remain viable in soil for three or more years	Unknown: 0 pts
Viable seed produced with <i>both</i> self-pollination and cross-pollination	Unknown: 0 pts
Has quickly spreading vegetative structures (rhizomes, roots, etc.) that may root at nodes	Yes: 1 pt
Fragments easily and fragments can become established elsewhere	Yes: 2 pts
Resprouts readily when cut, grazed, or burned	Yes: 1 pt
	5 pts 2 unknowns
	B (4-5 pts)
Note any related traits:	

Worksheet C - California Ecological Types

[back](#)

(*sensu* Holland 1986)

Major Ecological Types	Minor Ecological Types	Code*
Marine Systems	marine systems	score
Freshwater and Estuarine Aquatic Systems	lakes, ponds, reservoirs	score
	rivers, streams, canals	score
	estuaries	score
Dunes	coastal	score
	desert	score
	interior	score
Scrub and Chaparral	coastal bluff scrub	D. presen
	coastal scrub	D. presen
	Sonoran desert scrub	score
	Mojavean desert scrub (incl. Joshua tree woodland)	score
	Great Basin scrub	score
	chenopod scrub	score
	montane dwarf scrub	score
	Upper Sonoran subshrub scrub	score
	chaparral	score
Grasslands, Vernal Pools, Meadows, and other Herb Communities	coastal prairie	score
	valley and foothill grassland	score
	Great Basin grassland	score
	vernal pool	score
	meadow and seep	score
	alkali playa	score
	pebble plain	score
Bog and Marsh	bog and fen	score
	marsh and swamp	score
Riparian and Bottomland	riparian forest	score
	riparian woodland	score
	riparian scrub (incl. desert washes)	score
Woodland	cismontane woodland	score
	piñon and juniper woodland	score
	Sonoran thorn woodland	score
Forest	broadleaved upland forest	score
	North Coast coniferous forest	score
	closed cone coniferous forest	score
	lower montane coniferous forest	score
	upper montane coniferous forest	score
	subalpine coniferous forest	score
Alpine Habitats	alpine boulder and rock field	score
	alpine dwarf scrub	score

* A. means >50% of type occurrences are invaded; B means >20% to 50%; C. means >5% to 20%; D. means present but ≤5%; U. means unknown (unable to estimate percentage of occurrences invaded).