

Instructions

For each species assessed, complete and return the Plant Assessment Form including the three tables, Worksheet A, and the appropriate state ecological types worksheet (either Worksheet B, C, or D). All light blue cells should be filled in for each of these tables and worksheets. Note that this is the “electronic” version of the form—a “printable” version of this form is also available, with formatting designed to allow an evaluator to fill in blanks by hand. The electronic version is preferred for final submissions to the list committee.

Step 1: Complete Table 1 with information on the species being assessed and the individual(s) performing the assessment. Enter the information in the light blue spaces below.

Table 1. Species and Evaluator Information

Species name (Latin binomial):	<i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (L.) Link
Synonyms:	<i>Arundo arenaria</i>
Common names:	European beachgrass
Evaluation date (mm/dd/yy):	8/30/03
Evaluator #1 Name/Title:	Peter J. Warner
Affiliation:	California Dept. of Parks and Recreation; CalEPPC
Phone numbers:	(707) 937-9172; (707) 937-2278
Email address:	corylus@earthlink.net ; pwarn@mcn.org ; pwarn@parks.ca.gov
Address:	P. O. Box 603, Little River, CA 95456-0603
Evaluator #2 Name/Title:	
Affiliation:	
Phone numbers:	
Email address:	
Address:	

Section below for list committee use—please leave blank

List committee members:	Matt Brooks, Peter Warner, Joe DiTomaso, Doug Johnson
Committee review date:	9/4/03, Berkeley
List date:	
Re-evaluation date(s):	

Table 2. Criteria, Section, and Overall Scores

1.1	Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes	A	Reviewed scientific publication
1.2	Impact on plant community	A	Reviewed scientific publication
1.3	Impact on higher trophic levels	A	Published literature (other)
1.4	Impact on genetic integrity	D	Published literature (other)

“Impact”

Enter four characters from Q1.1-1.4 below:

AAAD

Use matrix determine the score; enter below:

A

2.1	Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance	B 2	Published literature (other)
2.2	Local rate of spread with no management	A 3	Published literature (other)
2.3	Recent trend in total area infested within state	B 2	Published literature (other)
2.4	Innate reproductive potential	A 3	Published literature (other)
2.5	Potential for human-caused dispersal	B 2	Published literature (other)
2.6	Potential for natural long-distance dispersal	A 3	Reviewed scientific publication
2.7	Other regions invaded	C 1	Published literature (other)

“Invasiveness”

For questions at left, recall that an A gets 3 points, a B gets 2, a C gets 1, and a D or U gets=0. Enter the sum total of all points for Q2.1-2.7 below:

16

Use matrix to determine score and enter below:

B

“Plant Score”

Using matrix, determine the Overall Score and Alert Status from the three section scores and enter them below:

High

3.1	Ecological amplitude	D	Published literature (other)
3.2	Distribution	A	Published literature (other)

“Distribution”

Use matrix determine the score; enter below:

B

Table 3. Documentation

Question 1.1 Impact on abiotic ecosystem processes
Identify ecosystem processes impacted: 1. endophytic nitrogen fixation by plants resulting in increased ambient dune nitrogen levels; 2. disrupts aeolian formation of dunes resulting in altered dune geomorphology; 3. water availability;

Rationale: Published literature (other): 1. inference based on initial findings (Fusaro, S. 1997. Masters thesis) and formulated research hypothesis (Dalton, D., and M. Kahn) funded by National Science Foundation; 2. biology/ecology of beachgrass based on numerous studies on its rhizomatous growth, summarized in The Nature Conservancy element stewardship abstract and elsewhere;
Sources of information: 1. press release from Reed College (http://administration.reed.edu/news/news/taf?ID=123); 2. Danin, A., S. Rai, M. Barbour, N. Jurjavcic, P. Connors, and E. Uhlinger. 1998. Early primary succession on dunes at Bodega Head, California. <i>Madroño</i> 45(2):101-109. 3. The Nature Conservancy (http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/esadocs/documnts/ammoare.html); 4. National Park Service website (http://www.nps.gov/redw/beach-gr.htm); 5. Nikon website (http://www.microscopyu.com/galleries/confocal/beachgrass.htm); 6. Van Der Stoel, C. D., W. H. Van Der Putten, and H. Duyts. 2002. Development of a negative plant-soil feedback in the expansion zone of the clonal grass following root formation and nematode colonization. <i>Journal of Ecology</i> 90: 978-988.
Question 1.2 Impact on plant community composition, structure, and interactions
Identify type of impact or alteration: displacement of many native species, including rare, endangered, threatened, and significant changes in composition of native dune mat and dune scrub communities
Rationale: published information based on recorded data
Sources of information: 1. Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 46-47; 2. National Park Service website (http://www.nps.gov/redw/beach-gr.htm); 3. The Nature Conservancy (http://tncweeds.ucdavis.edu/esadocs/documnts/ammoare.html); 4. Danin, A., S. Rai, M. Barbour, N. Jurjavcic, P. Connors, and E. Uhlinger. 1998. Early primary succession on dunes at Bodega Head, California. <i>Madroño</i> 45(2):101-109; 5. Aptekar, R. 2000. <i>in</i> Bossard, C. C., J. M. Randall, and M. C. Hoshovsky. 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley. Pp. 42-46.
Question 1.3 Impact on higher trophic levels
Identify type of impact or alteration: displacement of habitat for nesting and breeding of western snowy plover (<i>Charadrius alexandrinus nivosus</i>); reduced arthropod diversity
Rationale: published literature
Sources of information: 1. Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA; 2. Aptekar, R. 2000. <i>in</i> Bossard, C. C., J. M. Randall, and M. C. Hoshovsky. 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley. Pp. 42-46;
Question 1.4 Impact on genetic integrity
Identify impacts: None known
Rationale: no closely related plant taxa in California
Sources of information: Hickman, J. C. 1993. The Jepson Manual. University of California Press, Berkeley.
Question 2.1 Role of anthropogenic and natural disturbance in establishment
Describe role of disturbance: sand accretion is essential to <i>Ammophila</i> growth; since human introduction to many dune systems, <i>Ammophila</i> has spread to many areas in active dune systems without human-caused disturbance, but does not survive well in stable sand dunes.

Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: 1) Aptekar, R. 2000. <i>in</i> Bossard, C. C., J. M. Randall, and M. C. Hoshovsky. 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley. Pp. 42-46; 2) Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. P. 44.
Question 2.2 Local rate of spread with no management
Describe rate of spread: increasing steadily, mostly due to continued growth of rhizomes and dune-building; some seed-germination has been documented in N. California.
Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. P. 45 Bencie, R. 1990. Investigation of seedling emergence and seed bank of <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (unpublished). The Nature Conservancy, Arcata, CA
Question 2.3 Recent trend in total area infested within state
Describe trend: most dunes already invaded, and some sites have been successfully eradicated, although complete eradication is probably a long-term process; overall trend is probably close to stable
Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 44-46.
Question 2.4 Innate reproductive potential
Describe key reproductive characteristics: rhizomes particularly effective in within-patch increase, and withstand saltwater immersion, allowing spread to new sites; species can also spread by seed
Rationale: peer-reviewed research; published literature (other)
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 44-46. Baye, PR 1990. Comparative growth responses and population ecology of European and American beachgrass (<i>Ammophila</i> spp.) in relation to sand accretion and salinity. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada. Bencie, R. 1990. Investigation of seedling emergence and seed bank of <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> (unpublished). The Nature Conservancy, Arcata, CA Huiskes, AHL. 1977. The natural establishment of <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> from seed. <i>Oikos</i> 29:133-136.
Question 2.5 Potential for human-caused dispersal
Identify dispersal mechanisms: introduction as a dune-stabilizing plan

Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: 1) Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 44-46. 2) Aptekar, R. 2000. <i>in</i> Bossard, C. C., J. M. Randall, and M. C. Hoshovsky. 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley. Pp. 42-46;
Question 2.6 Potential for natural long-distance dispersal
Identify dispersal mechanisms: vegetative (rhizomatous growth or transport of rhizomes by sea water); seed (wind)
Rationale: published literature; published literature (other)
Sources of information: 1) Aptekar, R., and M. Rejmánek. 2000. The effect of sea-water submergence on rhizome bud viability of the introduced <i>Ammophila arenaria</i> and the native <i>Leymus mollis</i> in California. <i>Journal of Coastal Conservation</i> 6:107-111. 2 2) Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 44-46. 3) Aptekar, R. 2000. <i>in</i> Bossard, C. C., J. M. Randall, and M. C. Hoshovsky. 2000. Invasive Plants of California's Wildlands. University of California Press, Berkeley. Pp. 42-46;
Question 2.7 Other regions invaded
Identify other regions: North American west coast (San Diego Co. to British Columbia, Canada); Australia, New Zealand; present but not reported as invasive in South Africa
Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ, and JO Sawyer. 1998. Ecology and Restoration of Northern California Coastal Dunes. The California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, CA. Pp. 44-46.
Question 3.1 Ecological amplitude
Describe ecological amplitude, identifying date of source information and approximate date of introduction to the state, if known: restricted to coastal strand and dunes, central and northern California (and further north); introduced to California in late 1800's
Rationale: published literature (other); based on observations and species biology
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ. 1997. Control of European beachgrass (<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>) on the west coast of the United States. <i>In</i> Kelly, M., E. Wagner, and P. Warner. Proceedings: California Exotic Pest Plant Council Symposium (3) Lamson-Scribner, F. 1895. Grasses as soil and soil binders. <i>In</i> Yearbook: U. S. Department of Agriculture 1894. U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.
Question 3.2 Distribution
Describe distribution: essentially planted in or established in all dune systems from Santa Barbara northward
Rationale: published literature (other)
Sources of information: Pickart, AJ. 1997. Control of European beachgrass (<i>Ammophila arenaria</i>) on the west coast of the United States. <i>In</i> Kelly, M., E. Wagner, and P. Warner. Proceedings: California Exotic Pest Plant Council Symposium (3)

Worksheet A

Complete this worksheet to answer Question 2.4.

Reaches reproductive maturity in 2 years or less (1 pt.)	No
Dense infestations produce >1,000 viable seed per square meter (2 pts.)	No
Populations of this species produce seeds every year. (1 pt.)	Yes
Seed production sustained over 3 or more months within a population annually (1 pt.)	No
Seeds remain viable in soil for three or more years (2 pts.)	No
Viable seed produced with <i>both</i> self-pollination and cross-pollination (1 pt.)	No
Has quickly spreading veg. structures (rhizomes, roots, etc.) that may root at nodes (1 pt)	Yes
Fragments easily and fragments can become established elsewhere (2 pts.)	Yes
Resprouts readily when cut, grazed, or burned (1 pt.)	Yes
	5 Total Unknowns
	B
Note any related traits: rhizomes rely on sand accretion for growth and spread	

Worksheet C - California Ecological Types

Major Ecological Types	Minor Ecological Types	Code
Marine Systems	marine systems	score
Freshwater and Estuarine Aquatic Systems	lakes, ponds, reservoirs	score
	rivers, streams, canals	score
	estuaries	score
Dunes	coastal	A
	desert	score
	interior	score
Scrub and Chaparral	coastal bluff scrub	score
	coastal scrub	score
	Sonoran desert scrub	score
	Mojavean desert scrub (incl. Joshua tree woodland)	score
	Great Basin scrub	score
	chenopod scrub	score
	montane dwarf scrub	score
Upper Sonoran subshrub scrub	score	
Grasslands, Vernal Pools, Meadows, and other Herb Communities	coastal prairie	score
	valley and foothill grassland	score
	Great Basin grassland	score
	vernal pool	score
	meadow and seep	score
	alkali playa	score
	pebble plain	score
Bog and Marsh	bog and fen	score
	marsh and swamp	score
Riparian and Bottomland	riparian forest	score
	riparian woodland	score
	riparian scrub (incl. desert washes)	score
Woodland	cismontane woodland	score
	piñon and juniper woodland	score
	Sonoran thorn woodland	score
Forest	broadleaved upland forest	score
	North Coast coniferous forest	score
	closed cone coniferous forest	score
	lower montane coniferous forest	score
	upper montane coniferous forest	score
	subalpine coniferous forest	score
Alpine Habitats	alpine boulder and rock field	score
	alpine dwarf scrub	score

* A. means >50% of type occurrences are invaded; B means >20% to 50%; C. means >5% to 20%; D. means present but ≤5%; U. means unknown (unable to estimate percentage of occurrences invaded).