Habitat Fragmentation in California: our past, current rate, and likely future

Tom Scott

IHRMP, Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, UC Berkeley Center for Conservation Biology, UC Riverside

California is a land of abrupt transitions

Movements of the Pacific and North American tectonic plates create a geological calliope



Red-breasted Nuthatch (North to Yukon)



Mediterranean and monsoon climates produce abrupt gradients

Vermillion Flycatcher (South to Equator)

Result is the greatest number of rare and endemic species in the continental United States, and the greatest potential for endangered species conflicts

Endemic Species at distributional margins



Biodiversity Hot Spots



Listed Species



Actualized by hyperbolic rates of suburbanization



A SENSE OF LOSS AND ANGST

The desire for open space and wildlands continues to drive rural and semi-rural housing development





Expanded road networks Stealth Cities Captured Wildlands This demand for wild amenities creates an exceptionally odd juxtaposition of destruction and demand



Theme of my talk

Most of us envision two Californias,

one where we live and work,

the other where wild things roam



But our reality is the Wildland Urban Interface



Renamed the wild urban interzone by CDF

Extending this thought one step further,



Regional Nitrogen Deposition Work of Drs. Edith Allen and Gail Tonneson If no place in California escapes human influence

• Need to reexamine

Our belief systems surrounding wildlands

Our perspectives on management and preservation

 Should we continue to envision a separate California and the necessity of systems which are untainted by humans?

Perhaps not.

• With this admission comes a certain kind of flexibility in thinking that isn't possible if we try to maintain an artificial dichotomy

Most of us grew up with John Muir's perspective of wilderness apart, and but few have been exposed to Gifford Pinchot's concept of wildland management





Muir's viewpoint is still a proper framework to reverence Nature, but Pinchot's pragmatism may lead to better persistence of species

Ecological processes effectively nullify the concept of intact areas and natural regulation in preserves



Invasive Species



Energy: Fire in preserve patches



Continental Epidemics /Epizootics



Air pollution



Biological: Spatial dynamics of populations and ecological associations



Human presence: occupancy and use



Gravity: Urban drool, refuse, ravel, and floods

Only about 6% of California's land area is urban or suburban



About 13% of the land area is rural/ranchette



But about half the land area is in the Wildand Urban Interface



California Regional HCPs and Section 7 Plans



A recognized need for managing ecosystem, but a greater need to get in from of the bulldozers

Necessity of bioregional planning for undeveloped Land

Western Riverside County is divided by thirds



Has 2300 km of suburban edge

Separation is a natural function of polygons



Over-emphasize homogeneity and boundary decisions

But in the footrace for preservation we often lose sight of the complex ecological processes and interactions on the ground



Also loose our sense of ecosystem dynamics

Strong indication that overuse and drought(s) in the early 1900's, But a recovery in of biomass since 1930





How can we re-establish a balance between process and preservation?

Disciplinary topics which help balance in management and preservation:

Invasive Plants Wildfire and Fuel Management Air pollution Runoff Wildland Recreation Connectivity Invasive species,

by definition,

unite the concepts of land preservation and ecological process together

I hope this helps you to recognize the unique position of CAL-IPC to help California transition into the 21st century